Science of Law Journal (2025)
Clausius Scientific Press, Canada

DOI: 10.23977/law.2025.040606
ISSN 2616-2296 Vol. 4 Num. 6

Alienated Sanctuary: Deviant Pathways of Left-Behind Children through the Lens of Social Disorganization and Conditional Support

Qingyuan Wu

Durham University, Stockton Road, Durham DH1 3LE, United Kingdom

Keywords: Left-behind children; Social Disorganization; Conditional Support; Secondary Qualitative Analysis; Juvenile Delinquency

Abstract: Left-behind children [LBC] in rural China are vulnerable to psychological trauma and behavioural deviance due to prolonged parental absence and weak guardianship. Using secondary qualitative analysis of academic interviews, NGO case studies and media reports, this article examines how some LBC move from victimisation to offending. While social disorganization theory stresses community breakdown, the article develops the concepts of "semi-effective family support" and "conditional peer support" to explain why peer groups become both sanctuaries and sites of deviant socialisation. Under structural disadvantage, peer networks initially provide emotional security but, when exposed to external stigmatisation and institutional neglect, can be pushed into oppositional identities and violent coping strategies. By linking the victim–offender overlap to conditional peer support, the article proposes a structural model of "alienated sanctuary" and outlines implications for community-based protection mechanisms.

1. Introduction

Left-behind children in rural China have attracted growing attention from scholars, policymakers and the public. As large numbers of rural caregivers migrate to cities for work, children remain in villages under the care of grandparents or other relatives [1]. Existing research largely portrays left-behind children as passive victims who suffer emotional deprivation, school difficulties and mental health problems [2]. Much less attention has been paid to how some of them gradually move from victimisation to offending. This victim–offender overlap is not only a psychological process but is deeply embedded in the structural conditions of rural communities.

This article examines how community disorganisation, semi-effective family support, structural inequality and conditional peer support interact to shape the trajectories of left-behind children. Drawing on sociological criminology, it combines social disorganization theory, structural inequality and differential association to show how violence can be learned and normalised in specific social contexts. Rather than attributing deviance to "broken families" alone, the analysis highlights how multiple layers of structural failure expose children to repeated victimisation and leave them with few non-violent coping strategies.

Empirically, the article is based on secondary qualitative analysis of interview studies, NGO and

governmental reports, and media accounts concerning left-behind children in rural China. Through thematic analysis, it develops the concepts of "semi-effective support" at the family level and "conditional support" within peer networks, arguing that peer groups can function as an "alienated sanctuary" that protects children in the short term while increasing their long-term risk of offending.

2. Methodology

2.1 Research Design

Given the sensitive nature of the topic and ethical concerns about re-traumatising left-behind children through direct interviews [3], this study adopts a secondary qualitative analysis design [4]. This approach allows systematic examination of existing qualitative data and comparison of narratives across different rural contexts without intruding into the private lives of vulnerable children [5].

2.2 Data Sources

Data were triangulated from three main sources. First, academic interview studies on rural left-behind children provide in-depth accounts of everyday life, victimisation and behavioural trajectories. Second, NGO and governmental reports, including case studies and field reports from organisations such as UNICEF and domestic NGOs, document living conditions, guardianship arrangements and intervention failures. Third, media narratives and archives, especially investigative reports and oral histories, offer detailed reconstructions of serious victimisation and youth offending that are often absent from official statistics.

Materials were included when they contained concrete cases, direct quotations or detailed descriptions of interactions between children, caregivers, teachers and local officials. Abstract policy discussions, highly generalised portrayals of "left-behind children" and sensational but analytically thin reports were excluded. The resulting corpus is not statistically representative, but it supports a nuanced analysis of how structural conditions, family dynamics and peer relationships intertwine in the lives of left-behind children.

2.3 Data Analysis and reflexivity

The study employs thematic analysis. Through open and axial coding, key themes concerning community disorganization, family care and peer interaction were identified. Codes such as "loneliness", "bullying", "gaming together" and "fighting outsiders" were gradually grouped into analytical themes including "semi-effective support", "conditional support" and "alienated sanctuary". The analysis proceeded iteratively between empirical material and theory to ensure that conceptual claims remained grounded in the data.

3. Theoretical Framework: Community Disorganization, Semi-Effective Family Support and Conditional Support

3.1 The Context of Community Disorganization

According to social disorganization theory, poverty, residential instability and ethnic heterogeneity weaken social cohesion and informal supervision [6]. When parents migrate for work over long periods [7], left-behind children face not only a lack of parental care but also extended unsupervised time [8]. This interpretation echoes broader debates on the need to situate criminological theories in their social and historical context [9].

When communities also suffer from scarce resources, high mobility and insufficient public services, an environment of "double emptiness" in economic and social life emerges [10]. Children in such settings are more exposed to victimisation and more likely to vent stress through externalised behaviour [11]. Extreme resource scarcity in "hyper-urbanising" fringes further heightens fear of crime and social alienation [12], limiting opportunities for positive interaction [13].

3.2 From "Absence" to "Semi-Effective Support"

Criticising the tendency to explain youth violence solely by community disadvantage, Smith argues that serious harmful behaviours are often rooted in family dysfunction [14]. Simply labelling left-behind families as "dysfunctional", however, obscures the structural constraints under which they operate. Many caregivers in rural China are grandparents or other relatives who assumed this role because of labour migration and the lack of affordable childcare.

To capture this ambivalence, the article uses the term "semi-effective family support". It refers to caregiving arrangements that secure basic material needs—food, shelter and supervision—while only partially meeting children's emotional and educational needs [15]. Grandparents and other substitute caregivers often provide responsible physical care but, due to age, health, limited schooling and gendered divisions of labour, struggle to offer sustained emotional responsiveness, academic guidance or effective intervention in conflicts with teachers and peers [16].

Semi-effective support does not imply blame; it highlights how structurally constrained care prevents children from accessing secure attachment and advocacy that could buffer them against community disorganization. In neighbourhoods with high mobility, scarce public services and weak institutional presence, left-behind children are left with few protective resources beyond the household. This partial support is a key link pushing them to seek recognition and protection in peer networks.

3.3 The Intersection of Risks

Research Structural disadvantage amplifies the effects of family constraints: when neighbourhoods lack public resources, the risk of victimisation and the probability of maladaptive behavioural responses among adolescents increase [17]. Legal archives and media reports from Guizhou Province vividly illustrate this compounded risk [18]. In several cases, left-behind girls were sexually assaulted by teachers or local elites in the absence of effective guardianship [19]. These patterns align with findings that left-behind children face heightened risks of violent victimisation, especially sexual abuse.

Without support to process such trauma, fear and anger do not disappear but accumulate as negative affect. Children may come to see the world as hostile. Lacking legitimate channels to seek justice, some turn to illegitimate means. Longitudinal evidence links depressive symptoms to later delinquency among Chinese left-behind children [20]. Internalised pain can thus evolve into violent tendencies of revenge or self-defence in adolescence, completing the tragic trajectory from victim to offender.

3.4 External Oppression: The Catalyst of Marginalization

Beyond internal deficits, external institutional neglect and social prejudice play a crucial role. Drawing on Du Bois's insights into social disharmony, we argue that left-behind communities suffer "multiple deprivations" [21]. Goodson's work shows that rural areas often receive fewer welfare resources and less policy attention [22], leaving them without buffers against family breakdown and community decline. These areas are often structurally stigmatised as sources of "low-quality

population" or crime.

Although some scholars suggest that race or class is not always decisive in non-metropolitan crime [23], Du Bois's perspective reveals how external discrimination layered onto poverty traps communities in "multiple marginalisation". This external oppression functions as a labelling machine: resources are withheld while discriminatory labels are applied to the entire community. Semi-effective internal support structures are further eroded and left-behind children are marginalised not only by parental absence but also by a society that sees them as potential risks. Denied mainstream validation, they are pushed towards alienated peer groups, setting the stage for the peer dynamics examined below.

3.5 Linking Semi-Effective and Conditional Support in a Structural Model

Bringing these strands together, the article proposes a structural model linking semi-effective family support and conditional peer support within community disorganization and external oppression. At the macro level, rural communities marked by out-migration, economic decline and institutional withdrawal create environments in which formal and informal controls are weak and everyday violence is normalised [24]. At the meso level, families offer semi-effective support: they secure material care but only partially meet emotional and educational needs and have limited capacity to negotiate with schools or authorities [25]. At the institutional level, schools, local governments and the media reproduce inequality through neglect, stigmatising labels and selective protection, deepening children's sense of marginality and injustice [26].

Within this multi-layered structure, peer networks become a crucial but ambivalent source of support. Because semi-effective family care and unresponsive institutions fail to provide reliable protection or recognition, children turn to peers whose support is inherently conditional. The model traces a pathway in which community disorganization and structural inequality increase exposure to victimisation; semi-effective support leaves these harms insufficiently buffered; and external oppression narrows legitimate coping options, making conditional peer support central. Under these conditions, peer groups can evolve into an "alienated sanctuary" where oppositional identities and violent coping strategies are learned and rewarded [27].

4. The Alienated Sanctuary: Peer Networks as Conditional Support

4.1 Peers as a Survival Strategy

In the absence of effective parental supervision and amidst community decline, peer groups become more than playmates for left-behind children: they function as a survival strategy. Many children regard friends, rather than grandparents, as their primary attachment figures. Under "semi-effective" family support, peer networks fill the vacuum of intimacy and provide belonging and security. Their initial formation is driven by psychological and practical needs rather than deviant intent.

4.2 The Mechanism of Conditional Support

The protective function of peer relations is structurally conditional. Unlike ideally unconditional parental care, peer support depends on the broader social environment, the availability of alternatives and the shared position of members in local hierarchies [28]. Conditional support is defined here as assistance and recognition available only as long as the peer group can collectively resist external pressures and maintain basic stability [29].

In benign settings, peer groups can enhance resilience by providing emotional validation, practical

help and a sense of belonging. In rural contexts marked by marginalisation, institutional neglect and stigmatisation of left-behind children, however, the conditions for such positive functions are fragile. When schools and communities fail to offer protection and peers themselves are repeatedly humiliated or excluded, the basis of conditional support erodes. Groups are pushed into an oppositional stance towards teachers, local elites and other youths. Under these circumstances, conditional support becomes tied to displays of loyalty and readiness to confront perceived enemies. Acts of defiance, rule-breaking and even violence is reinterpreted as evidence of solidarity and courage. The mechanism that once provided protection thus channels children into deviant interaction patterns and prepares the ground for full transformation into an alienated sanctuary.

4.3 From Sanctuary to Alienation: The Path to Deviance

The shift from support network to deviant group is catalysed by stigmatisation and labelling. Left-behind children are often treated as "problem students" or "others" in school. Faced with institutional neglect and social exclusion, peer groups consolidate into tight-knit units that reject mainstream norms. Differential association intensifies violence is not only learned but embraced as counterculture and self-defence. Over time, these behaviours are ritualised as "loyalty tests" within the group. Participation in deviance becomes the "membership fee" required to maintain protection, and conflicts escalate into fights or theft to prove loyalty and establish alternative status hierarchies. The victimhood of abandonment thus metamorphoses into the offending behaviour of reactive defiance.

5. Policy implications

Intervention strategies must therefore move beyond merely "breaking up gangs" and focus on stabilising conditions of support. At the community level, efforts should rebuild everyday support structures in disorganised rural areas: investing in safe public spaces, strengthening village child-protection committees and supporting community workers or volunteers who visit families, identify early signs of victimisation and mediate conflicts.

At the school level, teachers and administrators need to see left-behind children not as "troublesome students" but as structurally disadvantaged children in need of support. This requires more inclusive classroom climates, effective anti-bullying and anti-stigmatisation mechanisms, and school-based social work or counselling that provides confidential reporting channels and sustained emotional support.

At the systemic level, policy reforms should address the roots of semi-effective family support and conditional peer support. Improving rural education and health funding, expanding social welfare for migrant families and clarifying the responsibilities of agencies in child protection can reduce reliance on overstretched grandparents and peer groups as de facto safety nets. Only when community, school and institutional systems work together can left-behind children access stable, non-violent forms of support that interrupt the trajectory from victimisation to offending. Recognising the complex interplay between structural conditions, family care and peer networks is essential for designing effective, community-based protection systems.

6. Conclusion

This study has re-examined delinquency among left-behind children through secondary qualitative analysis, moving beyond the simple narrative of parental absence. It argues that the path from victimhood to offending is paved by three interlocking structural failures: community disorganization, semi-effective family support and external stigmatisation.

In this vacuum of authority, peer networks emerge as a form of conditional support. They begin

as necessary sanctuaries for survival but, under social exclusion, can be alienated into mechanisms of deviance. Theoretically, the article shifts attention from "parental absence" to "conditional presence", showing that delinquency is not a direct product of neglect but an active, though maladaptive, response to the failure of multiple support systems.

References

[1] Lei, H. et al. (2021) 'A longitudinal study of depressive symptoms and delinquency among Chinese left-behind children', Psychiatry Research, 301, p. 113955. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2021.113955.

[2] Chen, X., Liang, N. and Ostertag, S.F. (2017) 'Victimization of children left behind in rural China', Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency, 54(4), pp. 515–543. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1177/0022427816660145.

[3] Korbin, J.E. and Krugman, R.D. (eds) (2014) Handbook of child maltreatment. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands (Child Maltreatment). Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-7208-3.

[4] Scourfield, J. and Welsh, I. (2003) 'Risk, reflexivity and social control in child protection: New times or same old story?' Critical Social Policy, 23(3), pp. 398–420. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1177/02610183030233005.

[5] Edwards, F. (2016) 'Saving children, controlling families: Punishment, redistribution, and child protection', American Sociological Review, 81(3), pp. 575–595. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1177/0003122416638652.

[6] Shaw, C.R. and McKay, H.D. (1942) Juvenile delinquency and urban areas. Chicago, IL, US: University of Chicago Press (Juvenile delinquency and urban areas), pp. xxxii, 451.

[7] Osgood, D.W. and Chambers, J.M. (2000) 'Social disorganization outside the metropolis: An analysis of rural youth violence', Criminology, 38(1), pp. 81–116. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1745- 12 9125.2000.tb00884.x.

[8] Antunes, M.J.L. and Manasse, M. (2022) 'Social disorganization and strain: Macro and micro implications for youth violence', Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency, 59(1), pp. 82–127. Available at: https://doi.org/ 10.1177/00224278211004667.

[9] Degher, D. (1990) 'Review of criminological theory: Context and consequences.' Contemporary Sociology, 19(5), pp. 723–724. Available at: https://doi.org/10.2307/2072358.

[10] Clinard, M.B. (1942) 'The process of urbanization and criminal behaviour', American Journal of Sociology, 48(2), pp. 202–213. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1086/219122.

[11] Lynch, M.J. and Boggess, L.N. (2015) 'Ecocities, crime, and justice: Ecocity theory, social disorganization, and green criminology', Sociological Spectrum, 35(4), pp. 309–328. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/02732173. 2015.1043681.

[12] Awasthi, S. (2021) ''hyper'-urbanisation and migration: A security threat', Cities, 108, p. 102965. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2020.102965.

[13] Clément, M. and Piaser, L. (2021) 'Do inequalities predict fear of crime? Empirical evidence from Mexico', World Development, 140, p. 105354. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2020.105354.

[14] Smith, C. et al. (2014) 'Practice and policy in the UK with children and young people who display harmful sexual behaviours: An analysis and critical review', Journal of Sexual Aggression, 20(3). Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/13552600.2014.927010.

[15] Goh, E. C. L., & Kuczynski, L. (2010). 'Only Children' and Their Coalition of Parents: Considering Grandparents and Parents as Joint Caregivers in Urban Xiamen, China. Asian Journal of Social Psychology, 13(4), 221-231.

[16] Hayslip, B., Fruehauf, C. A., & Dolbin-MacNab, M. L. (2019). Grandparents Raising Grandchildren: What Have We Learned Over the Past Decade? The Gerontologist, 59(3), e152–e163.

[17] Sampson, R. J., Raudenbush, S. W., & Earls, F. (1997). Neighborhoods and Violent Crime: A Multilevel Study of Collective Efficacy. Science, 277(5328), 918-924

[18] Mall, S., & Henn, B. M. (2021). The association between being left-behind and psychological distress, risk behaviors, and victimization among children and adolescents in China: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Child Abuse & Neglect, 120, 105228. Available at: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0145213421003079

[19] Cho, S. (2022) 'Theories explaining the relationship between neighbourhood stressors and depressive symptoms', Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 9(1), pp. 1–6. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-021-01014-2.

[20] Agnew, R. (2001) 'Building on the foundation of general strain theory: Specifying the types of strain most likely to lead to crime and delinquency', Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency, 38(4), pp. 319–361. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1177/0022427801038004001

[21] Werth, S.R. (2024) 'Social disharmony and racial injustice: W. E. B. Du Bois's theories on crime', Social Problems, 71(1), pp. 18–35. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/socpro/spac002.

[22] Goodson, A. and Bouffard, L.A. (2020) 'The rural/urban divide: Examining different types of assault through a social disorganization lens', Journal of Interpersonal Violence, 35(17–18), pp. 3530–3553. Available at:

https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260517711179.
[23] Bobo, L. D. (1999) 'Prejudice as group position: Micro foundations of a sociological approach to racism and race relations', Journal of Social Issues, 55(3), pp. 445–472. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/0022-4537.00127
[24] Sampson, R. J. (2012). Great American City: Chicago and the Enduring Neighborhood Effect. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
[25] Goh, E. C. L., & Kuczynski, L. (2010). 'Only Children' and Their Coalition of Parents: Considering Grandparents and Parents as Joint Caregivers in Urban Xiamen, China. Asian Journal of Social Psychology, 13(4), 221-231. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-839X.2010.01314.x
[26] Bourdieu, P., & Passeron, J. C. (1990). Reproduction in Education, Society and Culture(R. Nice, Trans.). London: Sage Publications.
[27] Giordano, P. C. (2003). Relationships in Adolescence. Annual Review of Sociology, 29, 257-281. https://www.jstor.org/stable/30036966
[28] Gilligan, R. (2000). The importance of important others in the lives of children in public care. Adoption & Fostering, 24(4), 35-44. https://doi.org/10.1177/030857590002400406
[29] Stewart, E. A., & Simons, R. L. (2010). Race, code of the street, and violent delinquency: A multilevel investigation of neighborhood street culture and individual norms of violence. Criminology, 48(2), 569-605. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-9125.2010.00196.x