

Reflections on the Evolution of International Community Development and Modernized Governance in China

Yukang Yan

*Institute of Foreign Languages, Zhejiang Normal University, 688 Yingbin Avenue, Jinhua,
Zhejiang, China
yukang_yan@163.com*

Keywords: International Community; Community Integration; Smart Community; Co-Governance

Abstract: This paper examines the historical evolution, current governance characteristics, and future development prospects of international communities in China. Tracing their origins from late Qing-era foreign concessions through post-1978 Reform and Opening-Up, the study highlights how these enclaves—once extraterritorial enclaves—have reemerged in special economic zones and university towns as organic, expatriate-driven communities. We analyze three defining stages: the spontaneous formation of ethnic and professional clusters, the “floating” geocommunity phase marked by separation from host society, and the multi-actor, territorially and functionally integrated governance model under government leadership. Despite gains in service provision and stakeholder collaboration, challenges persist in cultural integration, legal rights, and the balance between centralized decision-making and local autonomy. To address these issues, we propose a multidimensional governance framework centered on diversified community functions, enhanced self-governance capacities, optimized spatial and digital infrastructures, inclusive co-governance mechanisms (leveraging “grid + network” platforms and digital technologies), and a refined service ecosystem supported by nonprofit and volunteer participation. By synthesizing historical lessons with innovative policy measures, this paper offers strategic insights for constructing open, inclusive, and sustainable international communities that enrich urban life, foster cross-cultural exchange, and advance China’s modernization goals under Socialism with Chinese Characteristics.

1. Introduction

The concept of “community” emerged in the wake of the Western Industrial Revolution, when German sociologist Ferdinand Tönnies first introduced the term *Gemeinschaft*—commonly translated as “community”—in his landmark work *Community and Society*. In China, Fei Xiaotong was the first to render this concept as community, distinguishing territorially defined cooperative groups from kinship-based social units.^[1] With the growing internationalization of cities, the influx of foreign nationals and intercultural residents has reshaped traditional community life and given rise to the notion of the “international community,” for which there is not yet a single, universally accepted definition. Scholars generally agree, however, that an international community is marked by a

sufficiently large foreign population to warrant dedicated administrative attention, the emergence of pluralistic cultural norms and daily practices, and the presence of infrastructure and services capable of meeting diverse international needs. ^[2]

Since China's Reform and Opening-Up, the increasing numbers of expatriates—whether working, starting businesses, or pursuing academic studies—have accelerated the formation of such communities and posed new challenges for local governance. In response, smart communities have become a focal point of China's smart-city initiatives and a catalyst for grassroots governance innovation. The Report of the 20th National Congress of the Communist Party of China underscores the importance of integrating Party directives with community practice, strengthening basic Party and administrative organizations, and innovating governance mechanisms to address residents' most pressing concerns and enhance their well-being. In this new era, it is therefore essential to prioritize the innovative development of international communities and to bolster China's modernized governance system and capacities under the framework of Socialism with Chinese Characteristics.

2. Background and Historical Origins of International Community Formation and Development in China

2.1. The Embryonic Stage of Community Internationalization before the Founding of the PRC and Prior to Reform and Opening-Up

The earliest manifestations of what might be termed “international communities” in China can be traced back to the late Qing dynasty, when foreign powers carved out concessions in Chinese port cities under the unequal treaties. ^[3] These concessions—effectively extraterritorial enclaves with independent policing, taxation, and legal systems—served as forward bases for imperialist expansion and rendered China into a “semi-colony and semi-feudal” society. At the same time, however, they opened a window onto Western thought and material culture, as an influx of expatriates introduced new ideas and technologies. In this sense, concessions such as those in Shanghai represented China's first foreign-resident communities. Established in 1845 and lasting until 1943, the Shanghai International Settlement grew from just fifty foreign residents at the end of 1845 to 2,757 by 1865, and to over thirty thousand by 1936, according to the Chronicle of the Shanghai Concession (2001). The rapid internationalization of its population during the late Qing period thus laid the groundwork for China's earliest and largest foreign resident enclave. ^[4] Other treaty ports—Guangzhou, Hankou, Tianjin, and even Gulangyu Island—similarly witnessed the establishment of foreign concessions, complete with Western-style security, infrastructure, and public amenities, some of which continue to attract expatriates to this day.

With the approach of Japan's defeat in World War II and the victory of the Chinese Anti-Japan War, the Chinese government progressively reclaimed these concessions. As British, French, and American nationals repatriated in large numbers, the proportion of foreign residents in China plummeted. Between late 1949 and 1953—when repatriation peaked—even Shanghai, once China's principal gateway to the outside world, counted only 618 foreign residents. ^[5] Over the next two decades, stringent economic embargoes and a closed political environment kept the expatriate population at minimal levels. Although small numbers of foreign experts and diplomats remained—facilitating limited cultural and technical exchanges—the concept of an “international community” had yet to take shape in any formal sense.

2.2. The Initial Development of International Communities after Reform and Opening-Up

Since 1978, China's comprehensive reforms have ushered in transformative change across politics, economics, culture, and society, marking a decisive turning point in modern Chinese history. Rapid

GDP growth, improved living standards, and the establishment of a market economy created fertile ground for foreign direct investment and international cooperation. Special Economic Zones and coastal cities—most notably Shenzhen’s Shekou and Shanghai’s Pudong—emerged as primary entry points for multinational corporations, attracting large numbers of foreign employees and their families. By the 1990s, policy directives such as the “Notice on Further Improving the Administration of Foreign Nationals’ Residence in China” simplified visa procedures and provided more favorable living conditions, thereby accelerating the growth of nascent international communities.

China’s rising global reputation and the stability of its social environment further encouraged expatriate settlement. Decades of reform, economic achievement, and adherence to international norms enhanced China’s appeal and reassured foreign nationals that they would enjoy a secure and prosperous life. Meanwhile, the resurgence of Chinese soft power—through the promotion of traditional festivals, cultural performances, handicrafts, and cuisine—has endowed international communities with a distinctive local character, generating new economic opportunities in cultural tourism, hospitality, and cross-cultural exchange.

Against this backdrop, truly modern “international communities” began to take shape. In Jinan, the Zhongnan International Community—adjacent to supermarkets such as Ginza, Walmart, and RT-Mart, and facilities including the Fifth Municipal Hospital and the Children’s Hospital—provides abundant amenities and convenience. It lies within easy reach of universities such as the West Campus of Shandong University and Shandong University of Finance and Economics, fostering a vibrant, culturally rich environment where neighborhood governance and resident participation in community and government-led activities have become increasingly robust. In Yiwu, the Jiming Mountain International Community spans some 20,000 square kilometers and accommodates over 23,000 permanent residents—including 1,388 foreign nationals from 74 countries—alongside local residents and ethnic minorities. Dubbed a “United Nations community,” it offers tailored services such as multilingual resident passports, Chinese language competitions, and an “International Mediator” program. In Shenzhen, the Shekou subdistrict comprises thirteen neighborhoods, oversees six urban-rural cooperative entities, and hosts more than 86,00 foreign residents among its 165,900 permanent population, supported by customs, port, border inspection, tax, and judicial authorities.^[6] Shekou’s promotion of volunteerism and a “social worker + volunteer” model has provided expatriates with channels for engagement and feedback, fostering harmonious coexistence. Even in inland cities such as Chengdu, three major international community clusters—Tongzilin, Lushan, and Dayuan Tianfu—now host over 50,000 foreign and Hong Kong, Macao, and Taiwan residents of China (including some 2,500 expatriates from more than 130 countries).

3. Governance Status and Characteristics of China’s International Communities

3.1. The Spontaneous Formation of International Communities

China’s advances in science, technology, and education, coupled with its ongoing “open door” policy, have attracted an ever-growing number of foreign enterprises and high-end talent. Universities’ increasingly inclusive stance toward international students has further encouraged foreigners to live and work in China. Upon completing their studies, many international students remain to seek employment—often joined by spouses or family members—driving a steady rise in the country’s foreign-resident population. Nonetheless, cultural and linguistic affinity often prompts expatriates to cluster with compatriots who share their festivals and dietary practices, fostering a “comfort-in-numbers” phenomenon in which new arrivals gravitate toward established ethnic enclaves. Practical considerations of commuting convenience also shape residential choices: companies and universities frequently arrange housing for their foreign staff or students near workplace or campus entrances. For instance, in Xi’an, Korean nationals tend to reside in the Greenfield Century City community near

the Samsung industrial park, whereas Western expatriates concentrate in the Mingdemen neighborhood. As the foreign-resident share of these neighborhoods grows, expatriates increasingly “vote with their feet,” organically internationalizing local community life—without any overarching governmental planning or coordination.

3.2. The “Floating” Geo-community Stage

Building on Tönnies’s theory that *Gemeinschaft* (kinship-based community) evolves into *Gesellschaft* grounded in shared locale—and ultimately into a purely spiritual community—China’s international enclaves presently typify the intermediate “geographical community” phase. A defining feature of this stage is the relative separation of expatriate clusters from their surrounding neighborhoods: residents band together according to language, lifestyle, and cultural affinity, giving their community a distinctly “suspended” quality outside of mainstream society.^[7] This isolation stems in part from linguistic and cultural barriers that motivate foreigners to socialize within familiar subgroups rather than integrate with local residents. Limited interaction breeds misconceptions: local perceptions of expatriates often rely on media stereotypes or hearsay, while intricate religious differences—given China’s low overall rate of religious observance (under 1.7 percent of the population)—further discourage cross-cultural engagement, as locals fear inadvertently causing offense. Economic disparities compound this floating effect: expatriates and multinational firms generally command higher incomes and maintain separate commercial networks—supplying their own services and products—thus minimizing everyday economic exchange with locals.^[8] Even in university towns, where international students frequent gyms, shopping centers, and social gatherings, Chinese students are more commonly found in libraries or part-time work, accentuating lifestyle divides.^[9] Finally, legal and policy frameworks restrict expatriate rights to personal and property security, while political, employment, education, and healthcare entitlements remain limited. The absence of robust post-arrival guidance and social security measures further hinders expatriate integration, despite their growing role in China’s urban development.^[10]

3.3. Multi-Actor, “Territorial-Functional” Governance under Government Leadership

Since 1949, China’s shift from a centrally planned economy to a market-oriented system has progressively delegated community-governance functions to local organizations.^[11] Yet the state continues to set macro-level objectives—defining cultural direction, overseeing residents’ committees, and ensuring public order—while enacting laws and policies to maintain stability and provide public goods. In practice, a multi-actor governance model has emerged in China’s international communities, comprising administrative bodies, community organizations, non-governmental associations, commercial entities, socio-economic groups, and resident representatives.^[12] Commercial enterprises furnish goods and services; social organizations deliver cultural, educational, and welfare programs; and community groups advocate for residents’ interests. By balancing diverse stakeholders, this model aims to enhance service efficiency, accommodate multiple interests, and reduce conflicts—while the government retains the coordinating role necessary to resolve overlapping responsibilities and ensure orderly development.^[13]

Nevertheless, vestiges of the old system constrain effectiveness: critical decision-making powers remain centrally held, and community actors frequently require government approval before taking action, fostering dependency and blurring lines of accountability.^[14] Cultural and linguistic heterogeneity compound the challenge: uniform policies are often misunderstood or poorly implemented by expatriate residents, undermining their legitimacy.^[15] Meanwhile, many non-state actors lack maturity, autonomy, or sufficient service orientation, limiting their capacity to assume greater governance responsibilities. Clarifying the roles and rights of each stakeholder—and further

empowering community-level actors—will be essential for advancing a more responsive, inclusive governance framework for China’s evolving international communities.

4. Reflections on Modernized Governance and Future Prospects for China’s International Communities

Entering a new era, China’s international communities confront both unprecedented opportunities and complex challenges. On one hand, deepening globalization has driven rapid growth in the number and scale of these communities; on the other, issues of cultural integration, community safety, and service quality have become increasingly prominent. In response, a multidimensional governance framework—grounded in China’s national conditions and propelled by innovation—must be adopted to ensure the sustainable development of international communities.

First, community functions must be diversified beyond purely residential purposes to become hubs of creativity, knowledge exchange, and entrepreneurship. By upgrading traditional residential enclaves into multifunctional “globalized creative communities,” administrators can better meet the evolving needs of international residents. Enhancing community participation is equally critical: platforms such as “International Deliberative Councils,” foreign-affairs social workers, volunteer networks, and “Expat Committee” offices can break down language barriers and solicit foreign-resident input on governance and local improvements. Cultural programming should be carefully tailored to accommodate diverse age groups, professions, and backgrounds—forming Sino-foreign performance troupes, establishing cross-cultural exchange centers, and hosting festivals that both enrich daily life and foster mutual understanding.^[16] In more remote or hazard-prone locales—such as mountainous districts in Chongqing or Xi’an—community emergency-response capabilities must be strengthened through targeted training, risk-awareness campaigns, and real-time communication systems that safeguard all residents’ well-being.

Second, a strong ethos of self-governance should be cultivated to harness residents’ creative energy. Building a professional community-management workforce—by recruiting recent graduates from relevant university programs and implementing continuous training for international-community administrators—will bolster technical capacity. Competitive compensation packages and formal recognition schemes can reduce staff burnout and internal disputes. Simultaneously, programs to develop local residents’ global outlook and intercultural communication skills will promote reciprocity and respect. By continually upgrading physical infrastructure and amenities—ranging from leisure facilities to multilingual wayfinding—communities can offer foreign nationals a familiar, comfortable environment, thereby reinforcing attachment and fostering authentic modern governance.

Third, optimizing spatial layout is essential for convenience, sustainability, and quality of life. Thoughtful zoning of residential, commercial, and public-service areas—alongside well-designed road networks, transit hubs, and parking facilities—will alleviate congestion and improve mobility. The deployment of digital infrastructure, including high-speed broadband and smart-city platforms, can streamline information flow and service delivery. Environmental sustainability must also guide planning: expanded green spaces, renewable-energy installations, and comprehensive waste- and water-management systems will minimize ecological impact and create a healthier living environment. Such holistic design will make China’s international communities attractive to both residents and investors, while advancing long-term urban resilience.

Fourth, a diversified, co-governance model should be established to ensure shared ownership of community affairs. Community Party organizations can serve as conveners—hosting multistakeholder forums that uphold equal rights to information, voice, and oversight. The integration of digital tools—artificial intelligence, big data analytics, and blockchain—into governance processes will enhance transparency and responsiveness. At the neighborhood level, a “network + grid”

approach can redefine relationships among stakeholders, enabling targeted, grid-based service delivery under unified Party leadership, coordinated support from social organizations, and broad resident participation.^[17] This “grid + network” service model promises to translate policy into practice and maximize community well-being.

Finally, the community service system must be refined to elevate both quality and efficiency. Nonprofit organizations and volunteer groups enrich social services and address diverse resident needs, while civil-society actors play vital roles in monitoring community managers and ensuring accountability. By underwriting cultural, educational, and welfare projects—and by contracting targeted activities—social organizations foster resident interaction, mutual understanding, and cohesion. Strengthening these service and oversight functions will not only enhance daily life for foreign and local residents alike, but also solidify the foundations of a truly modern, inclusive governance paradigm for China’s international communities.

5. Conclusions

This study has traced the historical evolution, governance characteristics, and future trajectories of international communities in China, highlighting both their achievements and persisting challenges. In the late Qing period, extraterritorial concessions in port cities such as Shanghai and Gulangyu—though born of unequal treaties—functioned as early overseas-resident enclaves that introduced Western institutions, technologies, and cultural norms to China. The repatriation of most foreign nationals following the founding of the People’s Republic and the imposition of economic embargoes suppressed these enclaves through the mid-twentieth century. It was not until the onset of Reform and Opening-Up in 1978—along with policy initiatives simplifying visa and residence procedures in special economic zones and university towns—that China witnessed the reemergence of truly modern international communities in places like Shenzhen’s Shekou, Yiwu’s Jiming Mountain, and Chengdu’s Tongzilin, Lushan, and Dayuan Tianfu districts.

Contemporary international communities have grown organically—“voting with their feet” as expatriates cluster by language, and occupational convenience—yet this spontaneous formation has produced enclaves that often remain “suspended” from surrounding neighborhoods. Language barriers, cultural differences, economic disparities, and restrictive legal frameworks impede cross-cultural integration, undermining both community cohesion and expatriates’ deeper engagement with Chinese society. At the same time, China’s international communities have pioneered multi-actor governance models, in which administrative organs, community organizations, NGOs, business entities, and resident committees collaborate under government coordination. While such diversification has improved service provision and balanced stakeholder interests, lingering centralization of decision-making power, unclear accountability mechanisms, and the relative inexperience of non-state actors have constrained governance effectiveness.

Looking ahead, China’s international communities stand at a strategic inflection point. To harness globalization’s momentum and address emergent issues—ranging from cultural integration and service quality to safety and sustainability—this study proposes a multidimensional governance framework. First, enriching community functions by integrating creative, knowledge-exchange, and entrepreneurial activities alongside residential uses will attract diverse talent and invigorate local economies. Second, fostering self-governance through professional capacity-building, competitive incentives, and intercultural training will empower residents—both foreign and local—to co-create community life. Third, optimizing spatial and digital infrastructure with an emphasis on environmental sustainability will enhance liveability and resilience. Fourth, establishing inclusive co-governance mechanisms—anchored by community Party organizations, enabled by “grid + network” platforms, and underpinned by digital technologies such as AI and blockchain—will ensure

transparent, equitable decision-making. Finally, refining community service ecosystems through active participation of nonprofits and volunteers, and by formalizing social-organization roles in monitoring and project delivery, will amplify social cohesion and accountability.

In sum, the modernization of China's international communities demands a harmonized approach that aligns historical lessons with innovative practices. By diversifying functions, empowering stakeholders, and leveraging both spatial and digital infrastructures, China can cultivate international communities that are open, inclusive, and sustainable. Such communities will not only enrich the lives of foreign residents and their Chinese neighbors, but will also serve as dynamic platforms for cross-cultural exchange, global talent attraction, and urban innovation—thereby advancing the broader goals of modernization and international outreach under the framework of Socialism with Chinese Characteristics.

References

- [1] Ma Chen. *Research on the Governance of International Communities in Xi'an from the Perspective of Urban Internationalization* [D]. Shaanxi Normal University, 2019: 18. (in Chinese)
- [2] Lu Wenli. *Research on Governance Models of International Communities: Based on Multiple Case Analysis* [D]. East China University of Political Science and Law, 2121: 10. (in Chinese)
- [3] Wang Xiaohu. *The Concentration of Foreign Population and the Construction of International Communities in Pudong New District* [M]. Fudan University, 2012. (in Chinese)
- [4] Jiao Jianhua, Xu Cuihong. *Research on the Modern Concession System and Population Changes: A Case Study of Gulangyu (1840-1941)—Also Discussing the Differences in Population Changes with Shanghai Concessions* [J]. *Journal of Fujian Jiangxia University*, 2022, 12(02): 71-78. (in Chinese)
- [5] Huang Zuhong, Gao Xiangdong, Zhu Xiaolin. *Research on the Historical Changes in the Spatial Distribution of Foreign Population in Shanghai* [J]. *Southern Population*, 2013, 28(03): 54-64. (in Chinese)
- [6] Ying Yiyuan. *Research on the Collaborative Mechanism of Social Workers and Volunteers in International Community Governance* [D]. Sichuan International Studies University, 2022. (in Chinese)
- [7] Ferdinand Tönnies. *Community and Society—Basic Concepts of Pure Sociology* [M]. Translated by Lin Rongyuan, Commercial Press, 1999: 65. (in Chinese)
- [8] Jiang Yiyi, Gao Haiqi, He Fengyan, et al. *Africans in Guangzhou—The Chinese Dream of "Guangpiao" Africans* [J]. *Value Engineering*, 2017, 36(26): 241-243. (in Chinese)
- [9] Li Huiling. *Cross-Cultural Interaction and Identity—An Investigation and Reflection on the Multiculturalism of Yiwu's "International Community"* [J]. *Journal of Guangxi University (Philosophy and Social Sciences Edition)*, 2008, 30(06): 73-77. (in Chinese)
- [10] Wang Lingjin. *Exploration of Daily Life Space and Community Response in International Student Gatherings in Nanjing* [D]. Southeast University, 2019. (in Chinese)
- [11] Li Xixian. *Research on the Dilemma and Countermeasures of the Transformation of Grassroots Government Functions in Urban Community Governance* [D]. Changchun University of Technology, 2024. (in Chinese)
- [12] Meng Fanpu. *Research on the Participation of Multiple Subjects in Community Governance* [D]. Hebei Normal University, 2024. (in Chinese)
- [13] Ke Zunqing. *Research on Grassroots Social Governance in Contemporary Chinese Cities* [D]. Yunnan University, 2017. (in Chinese)
- [14] Chen Yunshan. *Research on Social Work Interventions for Irrational Emotions of Residents in International Communities* [D]. Sichuan International Studies University, 2023. (in Chinese)
- [15] Chen Wenyay. *Research on the Optimization of Government Functions in Urban Community Governance* [D]. Yunnan University of Finance and Economics, 2024. (in Chinese)
- [16] Han Chao. *Research on Community Functions in Urban Areas of China* [D]. Shandong University of Technology, 2013. (in Chinese)
- [17] Zhang Shuai. *Research on the Dilemmas and Countermeasures of Community Volunteer Participation in Community Governance from the Perspective of "Five Social Linkages"* [D]. Henan Agricultural University, 2024. (in Chinese)