Application of the Functionalist Perspective in English Grammar Teaching within a Post-Structuralist Context

Dong Jinhang

GCTB-NSU Joint Institute of Technology, Guangzhou College of Technology and Business, Guangzhou, China
djhmessi@gmail.com

Abstract: In the context of post-structuralist linguistics, language rules and structures are no longer regarded as a fixed system. Instead, they are dynamically generated and continually reshaped in response to evolving contexts, cultural influences, and discursive transformations. This paper examines the insights that the functionalist perspective offers for English grammar teaching in this context. Through a functionalist lens, it highlights the functional convergence underlying the apparent diversity of grammatical rules, thereby guiding students toward developing an integrative view of language informed by functionalism. The paper also elaborates on various pedagogical techniques that can be employed in grammar teaching under this approach, including comparative analysis, contextualized practice, and discussions on cultural diversity. These methods are designed to help students grasp the communicative objectives inherent in different grammatical rules and appreciate the relationship between linguistic forms and their cultural contexts. Furthermore, the role of the teacher is reconceived in the post-structuralist framework—not as a transmitter of static rules, but as a facilitator guiding students to construct their own understanding of language. The paper argues that one of post-structuralism's most valuable contributions to grammar teaching is fostering students' awareness of the communicative motivations underlying seemingly rigid grammatical rules. In practical applications, this approach leverages cross-linguistic and diachronic comparisons to investigate linguistic diversity, promoting a holistic view of language, enhancing student engagement, and encouraging habits of comparison, reflection, and critical thinking.

1. Introduction

The functionalist perspective in linguistics provides an essential theoretical basis for helping students understand the convergence of grammatical functions across languages. Central to functionalist linguistics is the concept that communication is the primary purpose of language, with grammatical rules serving as mechanisms to achieve communicative goals. This approach diverges from the "structure-first" model of formalist linguistics by proposing that grammatical structures are crafted to serve communicative needs. Heine and Kuteva (2002)[1] assert that many grammaticalization processes across diverse languages arise in response to universal

communicative functions.

Teachers can leverage this perspective to guide students in recognizing that, although languages vary significantly in form, they often demonstrate surprising similarities in addressing core communicative functions. This functionalist view repositions language as a practical tool designed for specific communicative objectives, rather than an isolated symbolic system. Systemic Functional Linguistics, as outlined by Halliday (1973)[2], identifies three principal functions of language: the ideational function (to express events and states), the interpersonal function (to manage social relationships), and the textual function (to produce coherent discourse). These functions are universal, and while each language differs in its structural expression, the underlying communicative functions remain consistent. This functionalist approach helps students recognize that, despite the diversity of grammatical rules across languages, they converge in their foundational communicative purposes.

Croft (1990)[3] further notes that grammatical categories such as tense, aspect, and mood are common across languages, aiding speakers in conveying the timing, states, and relationships of events in communication. This perspective helps students understand that significant grammatical differences between languages may still serve similar communicative goals. For instance, students may initially struggle to see parallels between Chinese and English regarding tense, as Chinese lacks strict verb tense inflexion. However, both languages employ different mechanisms to convey information about time and state effectively. Whereas English utilizes verb conjugations, Chinese employs adverbs or particles—such as "yi jing" (already) or "le" (a marker of perfective aspect)—to communicate a sense of completion, similar to how English uses phrases like "has done" to highlight the relevance to the present.

By focusing on communicative function, teachers can help students appreciate how diverse grammatical forms across languages can achieve similar fundamental goals. Through cross-linguistic comparisons, students can understand that structural variations among languages are often designed to fulfil the same core communicative functions.

2. Cross-Linguistic Horizontal and Diachronic Comparisons in Language

The functional convergence of grammatical structures is observable across numerous languages. Greenberg (1966)[4], through extensive cross-linguistic research, identified that fundamental grammatical categories—such as tense, aspect, mood, number, and gender—are present in many languages. Givón (1984)[5] further underscored that while language forms exhibit wide variation, their underlying communicative functions remain consistent. In English language teaching, cross-linguistic comparisons can be introduced to help students appreciate the functional convergence of grammar across languages, fostering a holistic understanding of language and encouraging critical thinking. For example, English uses "to be going to" to express future intent, while French employs "aller + infinitive" and Spanish "ir a + infinitive." Although these structures differ in form, their communicative function—indicating a future event—remains the same. Through such comparisons, students can grasp how different languages employ varied structures to achieve similar communicative goals.

In addition to horizontal, cross-linguistic comparisons, language evolution can also be examined through diachronic, time-based changes within a single language. Hopper's (1987)[6] concept of grammatical "gradualness" suggests that language change is a continuous, incremental process rather than an abrupt shift. This concept reveals language as a dynamic, evolving system rather than a fixed entity, helping students understand that grammatical rules are not absolute; rather, they adapt over time in response to changing contexts, cultural influences, and communicative needs. While grammatical forms may shift, the communicative functions driving these changes tend to

remain relatively stable.

For example, the grammatical differences between ancient and modern Chinese are significant, yet the core communicative functions are retained. In ancient Chinese, a particle was used to form questions, whereas modern Chinese employs a different particle for the same purpose. Despite the change in structure, the functional purpose of marking a sentence as a question remains consistent, highlighting universal stability in language functions and a predictable trajectory in structural change.

In teaching, diachronic comparisons within a single language can illustrate that such shifts do not disrupt the fundamental communicative functions of language. Teachers can guide students to view language as a dynamic system, encouraging them to appreciate that language learning extends beyond static grammar rules at a given point in time. Instead, students should understand language as a continuously evolving system. This dynamic perspective deepens their comprehension of linguistic phenomena across languages and historical periods.

This understanding of linguistic dynamism also enhances the analysis of dialectal and variant phenomena within the same historical period. For instance, although Mandarin and regional dialects like Cantonese vary considerably in their grammatical structures, they retain functional convergence. For example, when describing 'I already have had my dinner', Mandarin and Cantonese both follow the 'SVO'(Subject-Verb-Object) structure, despite differences in verb forms and aspect markers. Similarly, Mandarin and Cantonese apply different particles to denote completion, yet these different particles were used for the same purpose. Such comparisons allow students to recognize that linguistic diversity often arises from shared communicative functions, fostering a more nuanced understanding of complex linguistic phenomena.

Additionally, cross-linguistic comparisons can reinforce students' grasp of functional convergence. Hopper (1987)[7] note that although language changes are gradual, grammatical structures generally retain their core functions to meet consistent communicative needs. For instance, many languages exhibit tendencies toward "simplification" or "omission." In spoken English, "going to" is often reduced to "gonna," while in Chinese, subjects or objects are frequently omitted. Although the forms of simplification differ, their purpose remains the same: to enhance communicative efficiency. By examining these parallels, students can see that despite structural differences, languages share convergent approaches to fulfiling communicative functions.

3. Analysis of Common Structures in Language

In post-structuralist perspectives, language is understood not merely as an isolated means of expression but as a product of interconnected cultural, historical, and social dimensions. Within this framework, analyzing common grammatical structures in language teaching becomes essential. Linguistic typology studies reveal that, despite considerable differences in syntax and morphology across languages, there exist notable similarities in many core structures. For example, Comrie (1989)[8], through syntactic studies, observed that many languages follow similar structural patterns, highlighting universal features within human language systems that transcend cultural and regional distinctions. Such findings suggest an underlying principle in human cognition and linguistic organization—languages generally adhere to specific grammatical sequences when arranging sentence components.

Haspelmath (2008)[9] further supports this view by showing that linguistic commonalities often centre around core grammatical functions. Languages frequently adopt convergent strategies to express fundamental syntactic relationships. For instance, the subject-verb-object (SVO) and subject-object-verb (SOV) structures are prevalent word orders found across numerous languages, indicating typical approaches to establishing syntactic relationships. When this is incorporated into

classroom teaching, such as through comparisons between English and Chinese, students can observe that both languages follow the SVO structure. Despite their distinct language families and differences in lexicon and syntax, English and Chinese share significant similarities in foundational grammatical functions.

These commonalities provide a robust basis for comparative analysis between English and Chinese grammar, enabling learners to gain a more holistic and dynamic understanding of language. Comparative approaches in grammar teaching not only broaden students' general linguistic perspective but also offer a universally applicable framework for understanding grammar. This approach equips language learners with tools to transfer and adapt their grammatical knowledge across diverse linguistic contexts, thereby enhancing their competence in multilingual environments.

4. Teaching Insights from Language Differences

In language teaching practice, it is beneficial not only to emphasize functional convergence but also to explore significant differences between languages. Rather than sidestepping these differences, teachers can encourage students to actively engage with the structural and expressive variations among languages and examine the cultural factors underlying them. By analyzing these distinctions, students gain a deeper understanding of language diversity and its causes. Cultural differences often give rise to unique linguistic characteristics, as distinct cultural backgrounds shape specific language usage patterns. For instance, Bybee (1994)[10] observed that the evolution of linguistic forms is frequently influenced by factors such as usage frequency and functional demands. Although some grammatical structures may be functionally similar across languages, their frequency and manner of use can vary considerably.

Such analyses not only enhance students' understanding of linguistic functionality but also highlight the profound impact of culture on language usage. For example, while many languages adopt a subject-verb-object (SVO) structure, cultural differences may influence sentence construction patterns. Japanese, for instance, typically follows a subject-object-verb (SOV) structure, which differs from the more widespread SVO pattern. This structure is partly shaped by Japan's cultural context as a "high-context" society, where indirect and nuanced communication is valued. The SOV structure, with the verb placed at the end, supports this cultural tendency by allowing for a more subtle delivery of the sentence's main message. By examining the cultural dimensions behind linguistic structures, students can better appreciate how cultural contexts contribute to language development.

Incorporating linguistic differences into grammar teaching also makes learning more engaging—an often-overlooked aspect of grammar teaching. Teachers can help students distinguish between grammatical structures that are relatively universal and transferable across languages and those that require focused attention due to cultural variations and differences in usage frequency. This approach supports students in developing a more independent and strategic language learning plan.

In conclusion, effective language teaching should balance an emphasis on linguistic commonalities with an exploration of deeper linguistic differences. By comparing language structures through a cultural lens, students gain a more comprehensive understanding of language diversity and the cultural factors that shape it. This approach fosters broader learning perspectives and intercultural awareness while enhancing students' engagement with language structures and guiding them to focus on complex areas in their language studies.

5. Teaching Strategies: Creating Authentic Contexts

In language teaching, teachers can engage students in authentic communicative tasks to illustrate how different languages fulfil similar functions. This approach enables students to analyze how various languages use unique forms to achieve comparable communicative purposes. Students could deepen their understanding of the relationship between linguistic form and function by using language to convey complex ideas. Task-based teaching methods encourage students to recognize cross-linguistic grammatical similarities by comparing parallel grammatical phenomena across languages. For instance, instructors could design scenarios involving conditional sentences. When comparing English and Chinese conditional constructions, students may observe that, despite structural differences, both languages serve the same purpose of describing hypothetical or unreal situations. The English "if... would..." construction and the Chinese "ru guo... hui..." both perform this logical function. Teachers could expand this comparison further by incorporating the French conditional form "si... conditionnel..." to illustrate the cross-linguistic consistency of conditional expressions.

The primary aim of incorporating real-life scenarios in language teaching is to guide students toward understanding the practical applications behind various language structures. By creating communicative contexts that mirror real-life interactions, students can experience firsthand how different languages employ similar grammatical structures and moods to achieve shared communication goals. For example, in a social setting where students are tasked with inviting someone to an event, regardless of the language used, they may recognize how similar grammatical moods and vocabulary align to serve the purpose of issuing an invitation. Ellis (2003)[11] argued that grammar teaching is most effective when contextually embedded, enabling students to better understand language functions. Task-based learning, therefore, immerses students in recognizing the commonalities and nuances of cross-linguistic communication.

In practical classroom activities, Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) can be employed by assigning tasks that require students to accomplish the same communicative goal in multiple languages, such as composing a formal invitation. During this process, students might observe differences in expressions and structures, while noting shared functions like extending an invitation, demonstrating politeness, specifying a date and time, and requesting a response. This approach fosters an intuitive understanding of the universal nature of language functions, reinforcing students' grasp of grammatical functions in the target language.

Such tasks simulate real-life multilingual experiences, enhancing students' ability to apply language skills flexibly and promoting intercultural communication awareness. In essence, designing realistic scenarios offers significant pedagogical benefits. Through task-based, multilingual activities, students encounter the practical value of language, cultivating both motivation and a proactive approach to learning.

6. Conclusion

In the context of post-structuralist language theories, functional linguistics provides a crucial framework for examining grammatical commonalities across languages. Through the analysis of language functions, comparisons of linguistic dynamics, and a focus on the communicative purposes underlying language, it becomes clear that, despite surface-level grammatical differences, all languages serve a shared purpose: to fulfil human communicative needs. This universal drive toward communication underscores the similarities in language functions across varied linguistic systems. In teaching practice, exploring both linguistic similarities and differences, while analyzing the cultural contexts of target languages and creating realistic communicative scenarios, allows students to grasp the interconnected nature of linguistic and cultural distinctions. This approach not

only enhances language learning by fostering a more holistic understanding of language but also strengthens students' motivation by connecting grammar rules to meaningful cultural backgrounds. And the comparative analysis could encourage students to develop a more reflective and critical learning approach.

Acknowledgements

References

[1] Heine B, Kuteva T. World Lexicon Of Grammaticalization[M]. Cambridge University Press, 2002.

[2] Halliday M A K .Explorations in the Functions of Language. [J]. Walter de Gruyter, 1973.

[3] Croft, W. Typology and Universals [M]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990.

[4] Greenberg J H. Language universals: with special reference to featurehierarchies [M]. Mouton de Gruyter, 1966.

[5] Givón, T. Syntax: A Functional-Typological Introduction[M]. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company, 1984.

[6] Hopper P J. Emergent Grammar [J]. BLS, 1987, 13:139-157. DOI: 10. 3765/bls. v13i0. 1834.

[7] Comrie, B. Language Universals and Linguistic Typology: Syntax and Morphology[M]. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1989.

[8] Haspelmath M. Parametric versus functional explanations of syntactic universals[J]. limits of syntactic variation, 2008. DOI: 10. 1075/la. 132. 04.

[9] Bybee J. The evolution of grammar: tense, aspect, and modality in the languages of the world[M]. The University of Chicago Pr, 1994.

[10] Ellis, R. Task-Based Language Learning and Teaching[M]. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2003.