Information Systems and Economics (2024)
Clausius Scientific Press, Canada
DOI: 10.23977/infse.2024.050421
ISSN 2523-6407 Vol. 5 Num. 4

Evolving Paradigms of Investor Safeguards: An Analytical Review of Diplomatic Protection in the Era of Contemporary International Investment Agreements

Jingtong Zhou

School of Law, University of Glasgow, Scotland, United Kingdom

Keywords: Diplomatic Protection, International Investment Law, Foreign Investment Protection

Abstract: This paper examines the enduring relevance of diplomatic protection in the context of international investment law, juxtaposing its role against contemporary International Investment Agreements (IIAs), such as bilateral investment treaties (BITs) and investor-state dispute settlement (ISDS) mechanisms. Despite the proliferation of BITs and the growing reliance on ISDS, diplomatic protection remains an indispensable tool, offering unique benefits not fully replicated by modern mechanisms. This study explores how diplomatic protection continues to serve as a critical recourse for investors, particularly where BITs and ISDS systems are inapplicable or ineffective. The analysis underscores diplomatic protection’s flexibility and its ability to navigate diverse legal systems, arguing that its significance persists amidst evolving investment protection frameworks. The paper concludes that diplomatic protection not only complements the landscape of international investment law but also provides a necessary safeguard for investors, reinforcing the need for a nuanced understanding of its advantages in safeguarding foreign investments.

1. Introduction

Diplomatic protection is an age-old and essential method by which States can uphold and protect the interests of their citizens, including investors, in cases where their rights are infringed upon by a foreign Government. Diplomatic protection, which is based on established international law and enshrined in numerous treaties and conventions, enables a State to pursue legal recourse on behalf of its injured citizens by requesting compensation from another State[1]. This effectively transforms a private dispute into an issue of international significance. Throughout history, diplomatic protection has been crucial in safeguarding foreign investments by offering justice to investors who have encountered unjust treatment, expropriation, or denial of justice in the country where they have invested. Furthermore, diplomatic intervention has the potential to foster constructive discussions and agreements among nations, resulting in peaceful resolutions and the creation of legal precedents that enhance the enforcement of international investment regulations. In the realm of international investment law, where the movement of capital extends beyond national boundaries, diplomatic protection serves as a fundamental principle to safeguard investors and foster economic stability. Nevertheless, the investment protection framework has undergone substantial transformation due to the advent of contemporary International Investment Agreements (IIAs), such as bilateral investment treaties (BITs) and international arbitration systems. These advancements have resulted in a widespread increase in alternate options for investors to pursue safeguarding and compensation, which may surpass the significance of conventional diplomatic protection. This paper contends that diplomatic protection remains significant as an investor tool due to its inherent flexibility, state-driven nature, and adeptness in navigating diverse legal systems, despite the emergence of alternative investment protection mechanisms.

2. Benefits of Diplomatic Protection

Diplomatic protection is a crucial safeguard for the rights of investors and offers a distinct set of benefits in the realm of international investment protection. Diplomatic protection for investors provides a significant advantage by granting them access to international dispute processes that would otherwise be unavailable to them[2]. If there is no bilateral or multilateral investment treaty in place, or if such a treaty does not include a mechanism for resolving disputes between investors and states, diplomatic protection becomes a crucial option for addressing complaints. It allows the investor to seek compensation for their grievances against the host country. Investors who do not have diplomatic protection may not have the required legal status or resources to independently commence such proceedings. Using the diplomatic resources of the investor's home country, they can effectively navigate the intricate legal system and overcome jurisdictional barriers.

Furthermore, diplomatic protection can effectively leverage the political, economic, and diplomatic influence of the investor's home State. The utilization of State power can impose significant influence on host States to rectify and remedy injustices committed against foreign investors. The participation of the state introduces a level of gravity and urgency to the conflict that may be challenging for an individual investor or corporation to attain independently. The utilization of a State-supported strategy can effectively aid in the facilitation of talks and settlements that uphold the rights and interests of investors. This highlights the extensive influence of diplomatic leverage in resolving investment disputes.

Additionally, diplomatic protection strengthens the concept of the home State's obligation to safeguard its citizens in foreign countries. The home State utilizes diplomatic channels to fulfill its obligation of protecting the interests of its citizens who are investing in foreign jurisdictions[3]. This not only boosts the investor's trust in the safeguarding of their investment, but also reinforces diplomatic relations between States by upholding mutual obligations and promises. Consequently, diplomatic protection served the dual purpose of safeguarding the individual investor's interests and promoting stability and predictability in the overall international investment environment.

3. Growth of Alternative Investment Protection Mechanisms

The field of international investment law has experienced a notable transformation, characterized by the increasing number of alternative instruments for protecting investments. The main focus of this transformation is on the extensive acceptance of bilateral investment treaties (BITs) and free trade agreements (FTAs) that include investment chapters. These agreements, typically established through negotiations between nations, seek to provide a structure for advancing and safeguarding foreign investment by defining explicit rights and responsibilities for both investors and host nations. In doing so, they also modify the conventional dependence on diplomatic protection. These accords generally incorporate clauses regarding just and impartial treatment, comprehensive safeguarding and security, and the prohibition of confiscation without compensation[4]. The vast network of agreements has significantly bolstered the legal safeguards for investors conducting business internationally. Furthermore, BITs and FTAs frequently include contemporary rules for safeguarding investments, which demonstrate the changing norms and practices of international law.

An essential element of contemporary BITs and FTAs is the incorporation of an investor-state dispute resolution (ISDS) mechanism. This mechanism enables investors to immediately commence arbitration procedures against the host State for claimed breaches of the treaty.ISDS signifies a fundamental change in how investment disputes are resolved, offering a neutral platform for addressing complaints without relying on diplomatic involvement[5]. This method is especially crucial in circumstances where local legal recourse is unreliable or believed to be prejudiced against foreign investors. An international investment dispute settlement system substantially alters the dynamics of international investment protection by offering investors a direct and enforceable avenue to seek compensation. Consequently, this boosts investor confidence in making foreign investments.

4. Continued Relevance of Diplomatic Protection

Diplomatic protection continues to serve as a significant avenue for investors to pursue protection in contemporary international investment law. Although there has been a widespread increase in the number of BITs, their coverage is still subject to substantial constraints. Not all states are signatories to BITs, and even among those that are, the extent of their coverage might differ significantly. Furthermore, it is important to note that the benefits of BIT protection may not extend to all investors, particularly those who choose to invest in countries with a restricted treaty network or those who do not meet the criteria for being considered a protected investor.[6] Hence, diplomatic protection remains essential for investors who are operating in locations or sectors with limited coverage under BITs or where BITs are nonexistent.

In addition, the inclusion of the ISDS mechanism, a prevalent feature in BITs and multilateral investment treaties (MITs), presents significant difficulties. The escalating expenses, prolonged timeframes, and ambiguity associated with the ISDS procedure are progressively eroding investor trust in the efficacy of this mechanism.[7] The challenging nature of the ISDS process frequently discourages small investors with little means from pursuing claims against host States, leaving them susceptible to unfavorable government action. Under such circumstances, diplomatic protection emerges as a more efficient avenue for investors to seek compensation for violations of treaties or other forms of injustice, offering them an alternative that could be faster and more economical than ISDS.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, diplomatic protection is a longstanding mechanism in the changing landscape of international investment protection, offering distinct and essential advantages to investors worldwide. This article examines the various benefits of diplomatic protection, emphasizing its capacity to offer redress in cases when BITs and ISDS systems may not be relevant or fail to offer a satisfying resolution. Although BIT and ISDS mechanisms have undergone significant development and are now frequently used to settle conflicts between foreign investors and host States, diplomatic protection continues to play a crucial role in international law. It serves to address the limitations of these modern mechanisms and offers unique advantages that are not easily replaceable. Given the intricate nature of the global investment landscape, it seems improbable that diplomatic protection would diminish in its appeal or efficacy as a fundamental means of preserving the interests of investors.

References

[1] Lourie DG, 'Diplomatic Protection Under the State-to-State Arbitration Clauses of Investment Treaties', PSN: Negotiation & Conflict Resolution (Topic), (26 May 2015)P511

[2] Lim CL, Ho J and Paparinskis M, 'International Investment Law and Arbitration: Commentary, Awards and Other Materials' (Higher Education from Cambridge University Press, 26 April 2018)p1, p2

[3] Foster GK, 'Recovering Protection and Security: The Treaty Standard's Obscure Origins, Forgotten Meaning, and Key Current Significance' (2012) 45 Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law 1095 p1095, p1119

[4] Söderlund C, 'Intra-EU BIT Investment Protection and the EC Treaty' (2007) 24 Journal of International Arbitration p455, p459

[5] A. Kozulin, N. Tanovitskaya, N. Minchenko Developing a national strategy for the conservation and sustainable use of peatlands in the Republic of Belarus[J]. Mires and Peat, 2018, 21(5)

[6] Mikunda Tom, Brunner Logan, Skylogianni Eirini et al. Carbon capture and storage and the sustainable development goals [J]. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 2021, 108