

Study on the Localized Application of the Malicious Completion Age System

Lin Yanxi, Lin Min*

Law School, Yunnan University, Kuming, Yunnan, 650031, China

**Corresponding author*

Keywords: Malicious Age Completion; Localization; Applied Research

Abstract: Amidst the rapid proliferation of the internet and social media, juvenile crime has surged, escalating societal concern over youth delinquency. Against this backdrop, discourse on "malicious age supplementation" gradually emerges as a focal point of public and academic scrutiny. This system aims to recalibrate the legal boundaries of juvenile crime responsibility, meting out harsher legal sanctions for premeditated, malicious juvenile offenses, thereby remedying the deficiencies of existing criminal law in addressing certain juvenile delinquent behaviors. Endeavoring to dissect the social causes, psychological mechanisms, and preventative strategies of juvenile delinquency from different perspectives. However, effectively integrating this system into the legal frameworks of different countries necessitates consideration of their unique cultural contexts, legal traditions, and social environments, presenting a complex and challenging endeavor.

1. Introduction

In the era of globalization, the issue of juvenile delinquency has emerged as a transnational and interdisciplinary concern, demanding a nuanced and profound exploration. Malicious age supplementation, as an innovative legal practice, aims to offer fresh perspectives and methodologies for addressing this societal quandary. This article, through an overview of the concept, characteristics, impacts, and international research related to malicious age supplementation, unveils the potential positive effects of this mechanism on juvenile delinquency and the challenges it may encounter in implementation. It endeavors to examine the adaptability of malicious age supplementation in the process of localization across different nations, seeking to identify common issues and divergent strategies employed by countries in its enforcement, thereby providing insights for crafting more effective policies for juvenile delinquency prevention and control. Furthermore, the article will discuss how malicious age supplementation supplements and enhances existing legislation on the age of criminal responsibility, particularly in effectively curbing and preventing malicious criminal behaviors of minors while safeguarding their lawful rights and interests. Through a thorough analysis of malicious age supplementation, this paper aims to offer a novel perspective and methodology for both theoretical inquiry and practical application, contributing to the resolution of juvenile delinquency.

2. Overview of the Malicious Age Replacement System

2.1. Prevalence and Impact of Malicious Age Completion

The malevolent circumvention of age regulations is a legal principle primarily applicable to cases involving juvenile delinquency. This principle posits that despite the legal norm generally regarding minors within specific age brackets as lacking criminal responsibility, if there is sufficient evidence demonstrating malicious intent on the part of the minor at the time of the offense—meaning they were aware of the illegal nature of their actions and deliberately carried them out—this presumption can be overturned, holding these minors accountable for their conduct under criminal law. The aim of this principle is to account for individual variances among minors and to accurately assess the subjective malevolence of the actor in specific cases, thereby enhancing the accuracy of legal presumptions. This principle has been adopted and developed within the English and American legal systems to address the traditional limitations on juvenile criminal responsibility. Through this mechanism, the law is able to address to some extent the criminal behavior of minors exhibiting exceptional maturity and malice.

The malice aforethought age provision plays a pivotal role in the realm of criminal law, aiming to safeguard minors from undue harm and to mete out appropriate sanctions for juvenile delinquency. Numerous nations have embraced this provision, yielding positive outcomes. Drawing upon the experiences of other countries employing the malice aforethought age provision, we witness its significant contributions to reducing juvenile crime rates, safeguarding societal security, and fostering the rule of law. This provision enables the law to more accurately reflect the level of mental maturity among minors and to treat criminal conduct with greater equity. Nonetheless, implementing this provision in our country necessitates careful consideration of our national conditions and legal framework. Our societal, cultural, and legal milieu differs from others, thus introducing the malice aforethought age provision mandates flexible adjustments tailored to our circumstances. Furthermore, we must establish a robust legal framework and complementary measures, defining criteria for identifying juvenile criminal behavior and corresponding punitive measures. Simultaneously, reinforcing educational, psychological counseling, and rehabilitation measures is imperative to ensure the effective implementation of this provision. Hence, while the feasibility of implementing the malice aforethought age provision in our country exists, its specific execution warrants further in-depth research and discussion [1].

2.2. Relevant International Studies on the Malicious Completion Age

In delving into the international discourse surrounding the malevolent practice of age supplementation, countries within the Anglo-American legal traditions have exhibited a nuanced and thorough approach to this matter. Within these jurisdictions, age supplementation is principally regarded as a mechanism to prevent minors from evading legal responsibility solely based on their age. At the core of this system lies the assurance that the law can, under specific conditions, supplement the age of a minor when they commit a crime, enabling them to bear the corresponding legal consequences. In the United Kingdom, research and implementation of age supplementation are deeply influenced by its longstanding common law system. The legal community in the UK continually refines and enriches its understanding and penalties concerning age supplementation through the adjudication of various cases. Recent British endeavors have focused on employing technological means, such as artificial intelligence recognition techniques, to prevent and combat instances of age supplementation[2]. The situation in the United States is notably more intricate due to its federalist structure, resulting in divergent implementations of age supplementation across states. Nonetheless, a common trend observable is the increasing reliance on digital technology

solutions at the national level to address this issue. For instance, certain states have begun utilizing machine learning algorithms to analyze data from social media platforms to identify potential instances of age supplementation. Research on this topic is also gradually expanding beyond the legal domain to encompass sociology and psychology, aiming to dissect the roots and solutions of this phenomenon from multiple perspectives. As a representative of the civil law tradition, France places greater emphasis on the clarity of legal provisions and the comprehensiveness of preventive measures in its research and application of age supplementation. Recent data from France indicate efforts to reduce instances of age supplementation, particularly in online environments, through educational initiatives and public awareness campaigns. Simultaneously, France is endeavoring to strengthen cross-border cooperation to combat instances of cross-border age supplementation [3].

In summary, countries within the Anglo-American legal traditions exhibit the complexity and dual-edged nature of age supplementation in their research and practices. The experiences and lessons from these nations offer valuable insights for other countries considering the introduction or improvement of similar systems. Looking ahead, with further research into the psychology and behavior of juvenile offenders, it is foreseeable that age supplementation systems will become more refined, better balancing legal justice with the protection of minors' rights.

3. The Need to Localize the Malicious Completion Age System

3.1. The Practical Level: Providing Targeted Solutions to the Problem of Juvenile Violent Crime

Adolescent violence, as a significant peril to societal security, has long plagued the law enforcement systems of various cultures and nations. The introduction of maliciously augmenting age thresholds aims to impart adult criminal responsibility upon juvenile offenders who commit serious crimes, thereby serving as a potent means of prevention and deterrence through legal avenues. Regarded as a pivotal subject for localized application, this system seamlessly integrates with the legal frameworks and socio-cultural contexts of respective nations, exhibiting remarkable efficacy in addressing juvenile delinquency issues. Adolescents, as a distinct demographic, often lack adequate impulse control and succumb to temptations due to their ongoing psychological and cognitive development, while conventional legal systems may prove excessively lenient, failing to instill sufficient deterrence and resulting in persistently high juvenile crime rates[4]. The implementation of malicious age augmentation unequivocally signals to adolescents that serious criminal behavior, regardless of age, will incur corresponding legal repercussions. This paradigm shift not only aids in curbing juvenile delinquency but also fosters societal harmony and security at large. Furthermore, the system underscores the responsibilities of families and society in adolescent education, encouraging widespread participation in the creation of environments conducive to healthy youth development. Localized implementation of malicious age augmentation does not entail simply raising the age of criminal responsibility or treating all juvenile offenders indiscriminately. On the contrary, it demands meticulous consideration of the specific circumstances of each case within the legal system, complemented by insights from psychology and sociology, to afford juvenile offenders opportunities for rehabilitation and reintegration into society. This balanced approach not only exemplifies the impartiality and compassionate care of the legal system but also safeguards the rights of minors, thereby ensuring the effective applicability of this system across diverse cultural and societal landscapes.

3.2. Theoretical Level: Correcting Misunderstandings of the Concept of Criminal Legislation for Minors

Traditional conceptions often confine minors within the paradigm of "partial legal incapacity," thereby granting broader protections in terms of criminal liability. Intentional homicide, deliberate infliction of fatal injuries, and causing severe harm or death through particularly cruel means are all grave criminal offenses that seriously jeopardize societal safety and public order. The crime of intentional homicide entails the perpetrator's unequivocal intent to unlawfully cause death, exhibiting an egregious nature with immense societal harm. Similarly, causing death through intentional harm occurs when an individual's fault or malice leads to the unfortunate demise of another during the act of harm, constituting a severe offense. More significantly, inflicting severe injuries or death through particularly cruel means not only showcases the perpetrator's extreme violence and brutality but also inflicts significant physical and psychological trauma upon the victim, posing a considerable threat to societal order and public safety. Therefore, stringent legal measures must be taken against such grave criminal acts to uphold societal fairness and justice, safeguarding the life, safety, and lawful rights of the populace. This reality necessitates legislators to re-examine the criminal responsibility of minors, especially in cases of clear malicious intent and severe consequences. The establishment of the "malicious age augmentation" system aims to address the shortcomings of current laws in dealing with juvenile malicious criminal behavior. By "elevating" the age of minors involved in criminal activities, essentially treating them as fully accountable adults in the eyes of the law, it signifies the application of a more stringent criminal responsibility. This approach theoretically represents a reassessment of the criminal responsibility of minors, asserting that under specific circumstances, minors fully comprehend the societal harm of their actions and should bear corresponding criminal responsibility. It also offers a fresh perspective and methodology for preventing and intervening in juvenile delinquency. Moreover, from a scientific standpoint, precise analysis of the psychological and behavioral characteristics of juvenile offenders enables a more rational determination of the type and degree of criminal responsibility, thereby avoiding both excessive protection and undue punishment of minors [3].

3.3. Institutional Level: Institutional Reinforcement of Previous Legislation on Age of Criminal Responsibility

The malign malfeasance of age augmentation protocols, by allowing courts to enact more stringent judgments upon juveniles who haven't attained the statutory age of criminal responsibility yet possess ample discernment, addresses the escalating societal challenge of juvenile delinquency. It furnishes a flexible judicial instrument enabling the legal system to address adolescent transgressions within specific socio-cultural contexts with greater precision and efficacy. For instance, in certain cultures, the maturity and accountability of juveniles may vary, and the malicious supplementation of age protocols can account for these disparities, rendering fairer adjudications. This mechanism fortifies legal education and crime prevention by exerting greater deterrence on potential juvenile offenders, thereby fostering broader societal stability and safety. Through transparent case studies and court rulings, the general public, especially the adolescent demographic, can grasp the gravity of the law and the repercussions of transgression, augmenting legal awareness and societal responsibility. The localized implementation of age augmentation mandates also necessitates consideration of regional legal, cultural, and socio-economic disparities, ensuring compliance with international human rights standards while remaining attuned to local realities to genuinely achieve the goal of preventing and reducing juvenile delinquency. This mandates thorough examination and discourse among legislators, enforcers, and members of society, facilitating collective efforts to refine and evolve the system.

4. Exploring the Localized Applicability of the Malicious Completion Age System

4.1. Discussion on the Construction of Malicious Completion Age in China from the Perspective of Theoretical Architecture

4.1.1. Adequate Penalties in Line with the Protection of Minors in the Country

When constructing a malevolent age supplementation system in our country, it is imperative to adhere to the principle of safeguarding minors and, on this basis, appropriately penalizing criminal behavior. From a theoretical perspective, the construction of a malevolent age supplementation system needs to consider various factors. Firstly, our country has already established a legal framework and policy system for the protection of minors, including laws such as the Minor Protection Law and the Criminal Law. When formulating a malevolent age supplementation system, it is necessary to fully respect and adhere to the spirit of these laws, ensuring that the rights of minors are fully protected. Secondly, the malevolent age supplementation system should emphasize the substantive factors of criminal behavior. Even if minors have not reached the legal age of criminal responsibility, if their actions have shown obvious malice and harm, they should also be subject to appropriate penalties to maintain social order and public safety. Additionally, the construction of a malevolent age supplementation system also needs to take into account factors such as the psychology and social environment of the perpetrators of criminal acts. When determining and handling juvenile criminal behavior, it is necessary to comprehensively consider their upbringing, family situation, mental health, etc., and take targeted educational, corrective, and rehabilitative measures to help them reintegrate into society and prevent recidivism. Therefore, the construction of a malevolent age supplementation system needs to be based on the protection of the rights of minors and maintain social order and public safety through reasonable punitive measures. Only by fully considering various factors can we establish a malevolent age supplementation system that conforms to the national conditions and legal system of our country, effectively preventing juvenile delinquency, and promoting social harmony and stability.

4.1.2. With the dual approval of the Supreme People's Court and the Supreme People's Procuratorate, Prudent and Malicious Age Replacement

In our country, the application of the malicious supplementation of age system requires careful consideration, necessitating dual approval from the Supreme People's Court and the Supreme People's Procuratorate. From a theoretical standpoint, this prudence reflects a thorough respect for the rights of minors, while also taking into account the needs of social order and legal construction. Firstly, the application of the malicious supplementation of age system should adhere to statutory procedures and conditions. When determining whether a minor has engaged in malicious criminal behavior, ensuring ample evidence and factual basis is crucial, thereby avoiding overly subjective or one-sided judgments. Therefore, as the highest judicial bodies, the Supreme People's Court and the Supreme People's Procuratorate should rigorously review and examine cases involving the application of the malicious supplementation of age system, ensuring the fairness and legality of judicial rulings. Secondly, the dual approval process underscores the importance placed on social security and public interests. The application of the malicious supplementation of age system signifies stringent punishment for criminal behavior, holding significant implications for social order and public safety. Thus, when applying this system, a balance must be struck between the individual interests of minors and the overall interests of society, ensuring the rationality and effectiveness of punitive measures [4].

The dual approval process embodies the profound advancement of the rule of law in our country.

Governance according to law is a fundamental state policy of our nation, and as the highest judicial bodies, the Supreme People's Court and the Supreme People's Procuratorate bear the important responsibility of upholding legal authority and judicial fairness. Their cautious approval of the malicious supplementation of age system not only helps ensure the legality and fairness of judicial rulings but also promotes social harmony, stability, and the further improvement of the legal environment. Therefore, the construction of the malicious supplementation of age system in our country must undergo dual approval from the Supreme People's Court and the Supreme People's Procuratorate, reflecting thorough consideration for the rights of minors and the public interest, and also contributing to the deepening development of the rule of law in our nation.

4.2. How the System is Applied from a Practical Operational Perspective

4.2.1. China's Local Education System Should Be Considered When Applying the Malicious Completion Age

When implementing the malicious age supplementation system, we should thoroughly consider the characteristics and realities of the indigenous educational system in China to ensure the effective implementation and smooth operation of this system. From a practical perspective, we can apply this system through several aspects. Firstly, it is imperative to provide comprehensive education and correction for minors, aligning with the characteristics of the indigenous educational system in China. When determining whether minors meet the criteria for malicious age supplementation, factors such as their educational background, family environment, and psychological well-being should be taken into account. By employing educational and corrective measures, we can assist them in rectifying their mistakes and reintegrating into society. This approach not only aids in preventing minors from reoffending but also fosters their healthy development and enhances their social adaptability. Secondly, emphasis should be placed on cultivating the psychological well-being and social adaptability of minors. When applying the malicious age supplementation system, attention should be paid to the psychological health and social adaptability of minors. Through methods such as psychological counseling and guidance, we can help them address psychological issues and strengthen their social adaptability, thereby reducing the occurrence of criminal behavior. Additionally, engaging in various cultural and sports activities, as well as social practices, can enrich the leisure time of minors and guide them in establishing correct life values and perspectives. Lastly, it is crucial to strengthen the prevention and intervention efforts against juvenile delinquency. When implementing the malicious age supplementation system, efforts should be made to enhance the early warning and intervention of juvenile delinquent behavior, promptly identifying and addressing potential issues to prevent criminal activities. This can be achieved by establishing a comprehensive social service network and strengthening collaboration among schools, families, and society to collectively care for the growth and development of minors, effectively preventing and reducing juvenile delinquent behavior. Therefore, when implementing the malicious age supplementation system, thorough consideration of the characteristics and realities of the indigenous educational system in China, along with measures such as comprehensive education and correction, strengthening the cultivation of psychological health and social adaptability, and enhancing the prevention and intervention of criminal behavior, is essential for promoting the healthy growth of minors and societal harmony and stability.

4.2.2. Public Attitudes and Perceptions of Malicious Age Replacement

The role of the societal populace is indispensable in shaping and propelling the evolution of policies. Their attitudes and perceptions directly influence the reception and efficacy of policies.

When exploring the indigenization adaptability of the "malicious age supplementation system," decision-makers must take into account the diversity of societal cultures. Across different cultural backgrounds, there exist significant disparities in the public's perspectives and expectations regarding age. This necessitates that institutional design not only be scientifically sound but also thoroughly consider indigenous cultural characteristics to enhance public acceptance.

For the societal populace, the concept of malicious age supplementation may be both novel and unfamiliar, thus necessitating the elevation of their cognitive levels through effective public education and advocacy. Educational and advocacy endeavors should employ accessible language to explicate the intent, benefits, and implementation modalities of the system, thereby dispelling public doubts and misconceptions. Equally vital is the collection and analysis of public feedback regarding the malicious age supplementation system. This can assist policymakers in understanding the challenges encountered during implementation and foster continual refinement and optimization of the system. Through means such as social surveys and soliciting opinions, the voices and needs of the public can be effectively captured to inform adjustments for the system's adaptability. Furthermore, the design and implementation of this system necessitate finding a balance while respecting local legal traditions and cultural customs. This implies that policymakers must delve deeply into indigenous culture, as well as public attitudes and perceptions regarding age discrimination, in order to devise a system that not only effectively addresses issues but also garners widespread societal support [5].

4.2.3. Specifically How to Conduct an Assessment of a Minor's Capacity for Criminal Responsibility

When assessing the criminal responsibility of minors, a comprehensive understanding of their psychological and physiological states is necessary through dual evaluation of psychology and physiology. This integrated assessment approach not only aids in determining whether minors possess criminal responsibility but also furnishes judicial adjudication with scientific and objective grounds, safeguarding the lawful rights of minors and fostering societal harmony and stability. On one hand, psychological assessment entails a thorough examination and measurement of the psychological state of minors. Psychological evaluation, conducted through various psychological tests and measurement tools such as intelligence tests, behavioral assessments, and emotional stability tests, elucidates minors' cognitive abilities, emotional states, and behavioral traits. These assessment outcomes reveal minors' mental health status, cognitive levels, and psychological developmental stages, serving as crucial references for evaluating their criminal responsibility. On the other hand, physiological assessment involves evaluating minors' physical characteristics and developmental conditions. Physiological evaluation, accomplished through physical examinations, neurological function tests, hormone level measurements, and other means, discerns minors' physical health status, growth and development, and whether their neurological functions are normal. These assessment results unveil minors' physical health status and physiological development, providing vital clues for evaluating their criminal responsibility. Through dual evaluation of psychology and physiology, a comprehensive understanding of minors' psychological and physiological states can be attained, facilitating a more accurate judgment of their criminal responsibility. This integrated assessment approach not only assists judicial authorities in scientifically and objectively handling juvenile delinquency cases but also safeguards the lawful rights of minors and promotes societal harmony, stability, and the rule of law. Hence, when assessing the criminal responsibility of minors, it is imperative to fully utilize the dual evaluation method of psychology and physiology to ensure the scientific validity and impartiality of assessment results.

5. Conclusion

The localized implementation guidance of malicious age supplementation practices has manifested as a multidimensional, interdisciplinary discourse. The successful execution of malicious age supplementation not only serves as a significant complement to the mechanism of juvenile crime prevention but also constitutes a robust enhancement to the existing criminal responsibility framework. To unleash its maximum efficacy within indigenous societies, it is imperative to delve into and fully consider the cultural peculiarities, the current status of legal frameworks, and the potential roles of the educational system. The effective implementation of this system relies on a shift in public perception and a heightened emphasis on youth education. This necessitates not only close cooperation among governmental entities, law enforcement agencies, and educational institutions but also widespread societal participation, fostering a correct understanding and a scientifically informed approach towards juvenile delinquency. Such broad social consensus forms the basis for the applicability of malicious age supplementation at the local level and serves as a pivotal factor in propelling the healthy development of this system. Addressing the fundamental issues underlying malicious age supplementation demands strengthening education and guidance across families, schools, and communities to cultivate a healthy environment, thereby reducing juvenile delinquency. In designing the system, emphasis should be placed on principles of humanization and educational correction, steering away from mere punitive measures and instead employing psychological interventions, educational corrections, and similar approaches to achieve more effective rehabilitation outcomes. Looking ahead, it is hoped that this system will find reasonable application in more countries and regions, contributing to the protection of youth rights and the promotion of social harmony and stability.

References

- [1] Zavala E, Perez G, Sabina C. *Explaining Latinx Youth Delinquency: A Gendered Test of Latinx General Strain Theory* [J]. *Race and Justice*, 2024, 14(2):190-216.
- [2] Rice M S, Baker G D, Purcell R, et al. *Offending behaviour and mental ill-health among young people: Reducing recidivism requires integration with youth mental health care.* [J]. *Journal of global health*, 2024, 1403001.
- [3] Ruddell R, O'Connor D C, Wilson J S. *Oil and Disorder: Youth Crime in North Dakota Boom Communities* [J]. *Criminal Justice Review*, 2024, 49(1):30-42.
- [4] K. K O. *Mixed-sex peer groups and violent delinquency: understanding the separate and combined influence of mixed-sex peer groups, sex, and romance on violent delinquency* [J]. *Journal of Crime and Justice*, 2023, 46(5):607-626.
- [5] Cheung S, Huang C. *Adolescent delinquency: The role of early childhood exposure to intimate partner violence* [J]. *Family Relations*, 2023, 73(2):874-890.