

Injury mechanism and biomechanics of cervical and thoracic fractures

Yanjin Bo

Trauma Surgery Department, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University, Kunming, Yunnan, 655000, China

Keywords: Cervicothoracic fracture; Injury mechanism; biomechanics

Abstract: A common trauma is a cervicothoracic fracture, and both clinical therapy and prevention greatly depend on the injury mechanism and biomechanical features of this fracture. This work aims to examine the biomechanical properties of thoracic and cervical fractures and review pertinent literature. We discovered that there has been significant advancement in the study of the biomechanical properties of cervical and thoracic fractures in recent years through the collection and analysis of pertinent material. By examining fracture patterns, the researchers identified the characteristics and mechanisms of distinct types of cervical and thoracic fractures. Second, the examination of mechanical behavior analysis demonstrates that the cervicothoracic fracture stress mode significantly impacts the fracture's stability and the selected treatment strategy. Simultaneously, mechanical property measurement research offers the mechanical property data of the cervical and thoracic fracture sites, giving doctors a more precise basis for evaluation. Personalized treatment regimens and surgical techniques can be developed with the help of research on biomechanical modeling and optimal design. We can enhance patient outcomes and quality of life by optimizing treatment options and more accurately assessing the efficacy of various treatment techniques by modeling the mechanical behavior of cervical and thoracic fractures. Some research has advanced, but there are still a number of obstacles. The biomechanical properties of cervicothoracic fractures are complicated due to individual variability and various circumstances; therefore, additional clinical investigations and multi-center partnerships are required to validate and optimize available treatment approaches. Furthermore, in order to better understand the mechanisms underlying cervicothoracic fractures and enhance treatment outcomes, we still need to thoroughly investigate their mechanical characteristics and behavior as technology advances. To sum up, the investigation of the biomechanical properties of thoracic and cervical fractures offers a crucial foundation for enhancing the therapeutic outcome and patient satisfaction. In order to enhance treatment outcomes and patients' quality of life, future research should carry out in-depth examinations of the biomechanical features of cervicothoracic fractures and use them in clinical settings.

1. Introduction

A fracture of the vertebral body and its supporting structures between the cervical and thoracic

vertebrae is known as a cervicothoracic fracture. These fractures are typically brought on by falls, auto accidents, or high-energy trauma. For clinical treatment and prevention, the biomechanical properties and mechanism of injury of cervical and thoracic fractures are crucial. A thorough grasp of the biomechanics and injury mechanism can offer theoretical support and direction for fracture prevention and treatment. There are two types of damage mechanisms associated with cervicothoracic fractures: direct force and interrelay. When an external force acts directly on the cervical and thoracic segments' vertebral bodies and bone structure, it is referred to as a direct force and can cause a fracture. For instance, fractures may result from a vehicle collision's direct impact on the cervicothoracic region. The term "relay" describes an external force that travels to the neck and chest by conduction and transfer, breaking bones or injuring other bodily parts. For instance, conduction between the vertebrae may result in a fracture in the cervicothoracic region when a person falls. The nature, pattern, and stability of the fracture are among the biomechanical features of cervicothoracic fracture. Fracture types, such as transverse, longitudinal, and compression fractures, are categorized based on the location and orientation of the fracture line. The fracture pattern, which includes dislocation, incomplete, and complete fractures, specifies the degree of displacement of the fracture section as well as the shape of the fracture line. Fracture stability is a crucial metric for evaluating the prognosis and course of treatment for fractures, as it pertains to the degree of displacement and stability of the fracture component. The biomechanics and damage process of cervicothoracic fracture have advanced somewhat in recent years. Researchers can investigate the mechanism and deformation properties of fractures by simulating the injury process and mechanical stress of cervical and thoracic fractures using human anatomy and a biomechanical model. Clinical research on cervical and thoracic fractures also exists, and it covers fracture treatment strategies, effect assessment, and the categorization and diagnosis of various injury types. By thoroughly examining the injury mechanism and biomechanical features of thoracic and cervical fractures, more precise guidelines and techniques for fracture treatment and prevention can be offered. In addition to improving patient outcomes and rehabilitation, increasing research and development in this area will assist lower the frequency of thoracic and cervical fractures.

2. Damage mechanism

The direction and course of the external force driving the fracture is the cervicothoracic fracture damage mechanism. Treatment and prevention of cervical and thoracic fractures depend on an understanding of the process of injury. Two categories of damage mechanisms can be distinguished in cervicothoracic fractures: direct force and interforce. When an external force acts directly on the cervical and thoracic segments' vertebral bodies and bone structure, it is referred to as a direct force and can cause a fracture. This syndrome is typically brought on by high-energy trauma, auto accidents, or falls. For instance, the impact force from a car crash will directly affect the neck and chest region, breaking bones there. Interrelay occurs when an external force applies on one bodily component and subsequently, through conduction and transfer, acts on the neck and thoracic region, resulting in a fracture or fractures. In this instance, there are two possible causes for the cervicothoracic segment fracture: either a primary injury, which occurs when a fracture occurs directly at the site of an external force, or a secondary injury, which occurs when a fracture occurs at the cervicothoracic segment as a result of force conduction rather than at the site of an external force. For instance, conduction between the vertebrae may result in a fracture in the cervicothoracic region when a person falls. Numerous elements play a role in the cervicothoracic fracture damage mechanism, such as the amount, direction, and timing of external stress. External forces greater than the bone structure's carrying capacity are the primary cause of the majority of cervical and thoracic fractures. The mechanism underlying cervical and thoracic fractures can also be influenced by an

individual's physical state and bone density. By creating human anatomy and biomechanical models, the researchers were able to study the precise details of injury processes and simulate the fracture process and mechanical stress to gain a better understanding of the injury mechanism of cervical and thoracic fractures. These findings have significant ramifications for creating preventative strategies and enhancing therapeutic interventions. To summarize, there are two types of damage mechanisms associated with cervicothoracic fractures: direct force and interrelay. Understanding how injuries happen makes it easier to design preventative measures, which in turn improves treatment methods, reduces the risk of thoracic and cervical fractures, and promotes patient rehabilitation. Our knowledge of the mechanisms underlying cervical and thoracic fracture injuries can be further enhanced with practice and study, allowing us to provide more focused suggestions for clinical management and preventive measures. ^[1-4]

3. Biomechanical properties

The nature, pattern, and stability of the fracture are among the biomechanical features of cervicothoracic fracture. Fracture types, such as transverse, longitudinal, and compression fractures, are categorized based on the location and orientation of the fracture line. The fracture pattern, which includes dislocation, incomplete, and complete fractures, specifies the degree of displacement of the fracture section as well as the shape of the fracture line. Fracture stability is a crucial metric for evaluating the prognosis and course of treatment for fractures, as it pertains to the degree of displacement and stability of the fracture component. The mechanical characteristics, mechanical behavior, and mechanical qualities of cervical and thoracic fractures are directly associated with their biomechanical features.

1) Mechanical characteristics:

The cervical and thoracic fracture site's mechanical characteristics include toughness, stiffness, and strength. Strength is defined as a material's capacity to endure damage from outside forces and is determined by the highest load it can support. The relationship between the amount of material deformation and the applied force can be used to evaluate a material's stiffness, also known as its hardness, under stress. Measured by strain energy or fracture toughness, toughness is the ductility or toughness of a substance under stress. ^[5]

2) Mechanical behavior:

The cervical and thoracic fracture site's mechanical behavior is evidenced by its deformation and change mode under stress. This comprises the kind of fracture, the pattern of displacement, and the features of deformation. Depending on the direction and shape of the fracture line, there are three different types of fractures that occur in the cervical and thoracic regions: longitudinal, transverse, and compression fractures. The bone fragments may be misaligned in an unstable displacement pattern, or the pattern may be stable with the bone fragments remaining aligned. Understanding the deformation characteristics requires looking at the stress distribution, fracture surface analysis, and morphological changes at the fracture site. ^[6]

3) Mechanical qualities

Its mechanical properties are embodied in the stress-strain relationship and its changing rule at the cervical and thoracic fracture site under stress. The stress-strain connection refers to the relationship between the stress and strain at the cervical and thoracic fracture sites under the impact of external forces. Strain is the deformation per unit length, and stress is the force per unit area. The degree of tolerance and deformation can be determined by measuring the stress and strain at the neck and thoracic fracture site using mechanical characteristics research. This information can then be utilized to inform treatment and preventative strategies. ^[7]

In summary, knowledge of the biomechanical features of cervicothoracic fractures aids in our

comprehension of the mechanism and course of the injury. The mechanical features, behavior, and qualities of cervical and thoracic fracture sites can be acquired through numerical simulation and experimental measurement. In order to give more precise and useful recommendations for the prevention and treatment of cervicothoracic fractures, additional research can be integrated with clinical practice. [8]

4. Advancements in research

The biomechanics and damage process of cervicothoracic fracture have advanced somewhat in recent years. The mechanism and deformation features of fractures can be researched, as well as the damage process and mechanical stress of cervical and thoracic fractures, by setting up a human anatomy and biomechanical model. Clinical research on cervical and thoracic fractures also exists, and it covers fracture treatment strategies, effect assessment, and the categorization and diagnosis of various injury types.

1) Research on fracture patterns: By means of clinical observation and experimental simulation of numerous cervical and thoracic fractures, scientists were able to systematically enumerate and categorize various forms and patterns of these fractures. An essential foundation for fracture diagnosis and care is provided by these investigations.

2) Analysis of mechanical behavior: The researchers carried out a thorough analysis of the mechanical behavior of the cervical and thoracic fracture site by using numerical simulation techniques and mechanical principles. In order to identify the deformation process and fracture failure mode under stress, they examined the stress distribution, displacement patterns, and deformation features of the fracture site. [9]

3) Measuring mechanical qualities: The cervical and thoracic fracture sites' mechanical properties were determined through mathematical modeling and experimental observations. In order to assess the stability of the fracture and forecast the impact of treatment, they examined the strength, stiffness, toughness, and other mechanical characteristics of the fracture site. [10,11]

4) Biomechanical simulation and optimization design: Researchers have worked on biomechanical simulation and optimization design based on the mechanical properties and behavior studies that are now available. They studied the consequences of numerous treatment options through numerical simulations and simulated trials and presented some ideas for improvement and optimization. [12]

5) Clinical application and more research: The aforementioned study findings offer a solid foundation for the clinical use of cervicothoracic fractures. In order to better confirm and optimize treatment choices and enhance the efficacy of prevention and treatment, the researchers advocate for additional clinical trials and multi-center cooperation. [13]

In general, research on the biomechanical properties of cervicothoracic fractures gives us a valuable foundation on which to further comprehend the process and evolution of these injuries. To enhance treatment outcomes and patients' quality of life, more research is required to fully understand the biomechanical properties of cervicothoracic fractures and apply them to clinical practice.

5. Conclusion

For clinical treatment and prevention, the biomechanical properties and mechanism of injury of cervical and thoracic fractures are crucial. Studying the biomechanical traits and injury mechanism of thoracic and cervical fractures helps advance research and development in this area by offering a theoretical foundation and guidelines for fracture treatment and prevention. In summary, there has been a great deal of advancement in the biomechanical properties of cervicothoracic fractures in

recent times. Our comprehension of the process and progression of cervical and thoracic fractures has been enhanced by the examination of fracture pattern, mechanical behavior analysis, mechanical property measurement, biomechanical simulation, and optimization design. These findings offer a crucial foundation for the identification, management, and avoidance of thoracic and cervical fractures. Physicians can more precisely determine the stability of a fracture and choose the best course of therapy by being aware of the mechanical behavior and characteristics of the fracture site. Furthermore, the investigation of optimal design and biomechanical modeling offers direction for the creation of customized surgical methods and treatment regimens. There are still issues that need to be resolved. For instance, individual variability and a variety of factors influence the biomechanical properties of cervicothoracic fractures; hence, additional clinical research and multi-center partnerships are required to validate and optimize available treatment options. Furthermore, in order to better understand the mechanisms underlying cervicothoracic fractures and enhance treatment outcomes, we still need to thoroughly investigate their mechanical characteristics and behavior as technology advances. Enhancing the treatment outcome and quality of life for patients suffering from cervical and thoracic fractures can be facilitated by investigating the biomechanical features of these injuries. In order to enhance treatment outcomes and patients' quality of life, future research should carry out in-depth examinations of the biomechanical features of cervicothoracic fractures and use them in clinical settings.

References

- [1] Shao Lin. *Clinical efficacy of minimally invasive percutaneous treatment of thoracolumbar spine fracture [J]. Health world*, 2021.
- [2] Leferink VJ. *Application of transvertebral and transconical fixation in patients with thoracolumbar spinal fracture and its influence on JOA and VAS scores [J]. China Health and Nutrition 2021 Volume 31 Issue 11, 111 pages*, 2021.
- [3] Li Xinxin. *Biomechanics research on knee joint injury risk of long jumpers taking off [J]. Sports Research and Education*, 2021, 36(2):5.
- [4] Zhang Bin, Liu Xiaohui, Yang Zhengyan, et al. *Study on the mechanism of BMP-7 mediating kidney-reinforcing Chinese medicine and brain injury promoting the healing of traumatic fracture [J]. Chinese Science and Technology Journal Database (citation edition) Medicine and Health*, 2022(11):4.
- [5] Du Mengmeng. *Study on types, mechanisms and therapeutic measures of wrist joint injury in tennis based on anatomy and sports biomechanics [J]. Sports Science and Technology Literature Bulletin*, 2022, 30(10):242-245.
- [6] Zhang Xiaofeng, Jiang Tao. *Research progress of biomechanics in internal fixation of osteoporotic femoral neck fracture [J]. Chinese Journal of Medical Innovation*, 2022, 19(34):178-184. (in Chinese)
- [7] Li Xiaoliang. *Comparative study of percutaneous pedicle screw internal fixation and open reduction internal fixation in treatment of single level thoracolumbar fracture without nerve injury [J]. Health Must Read 2021 Issue 14, 3 pages*, 2021.
- [8] Tang Yinzhi, Chang Bing, Cheng Xugang. *Comparative study of clinical efficacy of tarsal sinus approach combined with mechanism reduction of reverse fracture and traditional approach in the treatment of calcaneal fracture [J]. Biomaedic Materials and Clinical Research*, 2022, 19(5):6.
- [9] Jia Yudong, Xie Di. *Research progress of biomechanical analysis and rehabilitation therapy of stress fracture based on microscopic injury [J]. Journal of Air Force Medical University*, 2023, 44(6):570-572.
- [10] Wang Fuyang, Liu Yuchen, Cheng Liangliang, et al. *Progress in biomechanical research of common internal fixation methods for femoral neck fracture [J]. Chinese Journal of Prosthetic and Reconstructive Surgery*, 2022(007):036.
- [11] Tian Bin. *Biomechanical analysis and clinical study of different screw configurations in treatment of femoral neck fracture of Pauwels type III [D]. Jilin University*, 2022.
- [12] Hao Jianyuan. *Research progress of sports biomechanical analysis of ankle joint injury [J]. Contemporary Sports Science and Technology*, 2022, 12(16):4.
- [13] Meng Fake, Lu Qing-Hong, Xu Yong-Qiu, et al. *Research progress in the clinical treatment of thoracolumbar fracture [J]. Diet Health Care 2020 48, pp. 295-296*, 2021.