

Exploring Positive Discipline in Preschools: An Analysis of Educator Strategies and Parental Perceptions in Two Kindergarten Settings

Guanzheng Chen^{1,a,*}, Yiting Hu^{2,b}

¹*School of Education, Taylor's University, Subang Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia*

²*School of Education, Taylor's University, Subang Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia*

^a*Kenchanck@163.com*, ^b*huyiting8689@gmail.com*

**Corresponding author*

Keywords: Positive Discipline; Early Childhood Education; Chinese Kindergarten Settings; Pedagogical Approaches

Abstract: This study delves into the intricate dynamics of Positive Discipline within China's unique educational milieu, focusing on kindergartens in Guangzhou and Foshan, representing urban and suburban contexts, respectively. While Positive Discipline's virtues are globally acknowledged, its application, adaptability, and challenges in non-Western settings, particularly in China, remain relatively unexplored. Through rigorous qualitative methods, including classroom observations and Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) with parents, this investigation assesses the intersection of Positive Discipline with diverse pedagogical and cultural nuances. Findings reveal that Kindergarten A in Guangzhou emphasizes progressive, student-centric methods, resonating with Western pedagogical philosophies. In contrast, Kindergarten B in Foshan harmoniously melds traditional values with contemporary positive discipline techniques, echoing Confucian teachings. Parental insights underscore a universal desire for more personalized positive discipline strategies, suggesting the importance of tailoring Positive Discipline to individual needs while honouring broader educational paradigms. In essence, the study accentuates Positive Discipline's malleability, asserting its potential to integrate global principles with localized values, thereby illuminating pathways for a more holistic, child-centred early education landscape in diverse contexts.

1. Introduction

The years bridging infancy to childhood, often termed the 'formative years', stand as a testament to the importance of early development. These years serve not merely as chronological markers but as a vital phase where the foundation of various skills, from cognitive to socio-emotional, is established [6]. Within this timeframe, individuals shape their understanding of the world, formulate resilience strategies, and refine their capacities for social interactions.

Recognizing this pivotal stage underscores the importance of ensuring that the educational strategies in place are all-encompassing. Such strategies should exceed simple academic instruction

to include emotional fortitude, moral grounding, and the capability for positive societal interactions[7]. Within this framework, Positive Discipline surfaces not just as a method but as a foundational principle dedicated to the holistic development of a child[1].

Historical trajectories of education showcase a myriad of evolving paradigms deeply impacting both pedagogical content and its dissemination, especially in the arena of classroom discipline and student-teacher dynamics. In previous times, classroom discipline was often characterized by its rigidity, anchored mainly in rules and their subsequent consequences[3]. While this approach may have ensured compliance, it frequently bypassed the intricate facets of child development, unintentionally giving precedence to mechanical obedience over genuine understanding and individual growth[3].

Modern educational avenues indicate a discernible paradigm shift[10]. Contemporary classrooms are evolving into environments that not only impart knowledge but also foster the holistic growth of a learner. There's a rising emphasis on mutual respect, shared understanding, interactive engagements, and constructive feedback[10].

Central to this educational metamorphosis is the Positive Discipline approach. Esteemed academicians, such as Dr. Jane Nelsen, have fervently advocated this framework[1]. This advocacy stems from the core philosophy of Positive Discipline, which significantly deviates from the punitive methods of the past. Instead, it champions environments brimming with mutual respect collaborative problem-solving, and prioritizes solutions over punitive actions[12]. Rooted in the pioneering theories of Alfred Adler and Rudolf Dreikurs, Positive Discipline emphasizes the importance of cultivating a sense of belonging and a propensity towards solution-oriented strategies.

As global education systems begin to incorporate these advanced teaching methodologies, it becomes imperative to examine their reception, adaptation, and incorporation across various cultural contexts[2]. China, with its intricate blend of ancient traditions and modern teaching methods, provides an unparalleled perspective. Cities like Guangzhou, while being centres of modernity, retain strong connections to cultural roots, making them interesting case studies[6]. In contrast, Foshan, even amidst its development, maintains a deep-seated resonance with tradition and community values, offering another unique observational lens[13].

Despite the shared cultural and historical underpinnings, the Chinese educational landscape exhibits considerable regional variations that influence its pedagogical ethos. With Guangzhou's evolution as China's global portal, its educational methodologies have inclined towards international norms, all the while maintaining a firm cultural anchor.

Conversely, Foshan, while progressive in many aspects, remains deeply connected to its traditions. The interwoven tapestry of shared history, rituals, and values in this region significantly influences its educational approaches. Here, teachers play a multifaceted role, integrating age-old wisdom with modern teaching techniques.

As China navigates the duality of globalization and tradition, its educational frameworks find themselves at this crossroads. The challenge, especially for approaches like Positive Discipline with Western origins, lies in ensuring they are both effective and culturally congruent[12]. Although principles like mutual respect and solution-focused approaches hold universal appeal, their application demands careful consideration of familial structures, societal expectations, and the historical context of positive discipline within Chinese pedagogical traditions[11].

A notable gap exists in comprehending the dynamic between Positive Discipline and China's unique educational ethos[14]. How is this methodology perceived, adapted, and integrated within varied educational settings like Guangzhou and Foshan, each possessing its distinct socio-cultural backdrop?

To address the prevailing knowledge disparity, comprehensive research has been conducted on kindergartens in Guangzhou and Foshan. Though geographically close, these kindergartens

manifest a rich tapestry of socio-cultural and pedagogical philosophies. Central to this investigation is an assessment of the impact of Positive Discipline within these settings and its synergy with the indigenous cultural nuances.

The virtues of Positive Discipline are extensively recognized. However, there remains a significant dearth in comprehending its tangible applications, efficacy, and the challenges it faces in non-Western contexts[14]. Stemming from this context, two pivotal research questions have been formulated:

1) How does Positive Discipline's application vary between urban (Guangzhou) and suburban (Foshan) early childhood settings, given the contrasting traditional and contemporary educational paradigms?

2) How do parental perceptions of Positive Discipline align with its execution in the socio-cultural landscapes of Guangzhou and Foshan?

This research is poised to illuminate these facets, striving to bridge the present knowledge chasms and further the international narrative on child-centric pedagogies. By traversing the classrooms of both Guangzhou and Foshan, this study encapsulates the insights of educators, evaluates the aspirations of parents, and demystifies the multifarious world of Positive Discipline within the context of early childhood education in China. In essence, this exploration aims to elevate the discourse around harmonizing time-honoured and contemporary educational philosophies, amplifying the depth and scope of education that is intrinsically child-centred.

2. Methodology

In the realm of educational research, methodological clarity is paramount. The current investigation strategically adopted a qualitative paradigm to decode the intricacies inherent to positive discipline strategies in early childhood education. This qualitative approach was apt for its capability to delve into the nuanced layers of diverse kindergarten settings in Guangzhou and Foshan [15].

To achieve a textured exploration, the study centred on distinct participant categories. The research encompassed twelve parents, providing insights from both kindergartens, reflecting the broader ethos and expectations of each school community[8]. Furthermore, four educators with varied pedagogical backgrounds and experiences were involved, ensuring the study was rooted in the confluence of academic theories and practical classroom applications. Complementing this, two expert observers, armed with significant academic and on-ground expertise, were engaged in bringing an objective, analytical lens to the classroom dynamics, potentially capturing subtleties that might be naturally overlooked by those deeply entrenched in daily educational routines[13].

The research environments themselves, Guangzhou's Kindergarten A and Foshan's Kindergarten B offered contrasting pedagogical landscapes. Kindergarten A, nestled in the urban milieu of Guangzhou, stood as a beacon of progressive education. With a decade of heritage and serving a diverse student populace of 206, this institution emphasized experiential learning, reflecting the bustling urban dynamism of Guangzhou. In contrast, Kindergarten B in Foshan, though newer, resonated with the calmer suburban cadences. Catering to 204 students, this school championed a harmonious blend of traditional wisdom and contemporary pedagogies, thereby anchoring learning within community values and local cultural nuances.

Data collection was methodically bifurcated to ensure comprehensive coverage. Classroom observations, spanning twenty-four sessions across the two schools, were conducted using a robust observational framework inspired by Barnas and Cummings (1994)[5]. These sessions meticulously documented the interplay of positive discipline strategies and the immediate responses of students. To triangulate this observational data, Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) were facilitated among

parents. Using a format resonating with the methodologies of Burchinal et al. (2000)[7], these discussions became conduits for understanding parental perceptions, concerns, and aspirations vis-à-vis positive discipline.

Once gathered, the data embarked on a detailed analytical journey. It was first subjected to methodical coding, followed by an intricate thematic analysis. Drawing inspiration from the analytical procedures of Gbadago et al. (2017) and Gopang et al. (2017)[11][12], this dual-phase evaluation was structured to extract emergent themes, patterns, and key insights aligned with the research objectives.

A cornerstone of the investigation was its unwavering ethical commitment. The entire research process was infused with an acute sensitivity towards participant rights. From securing informed consent and ensuring participants were well-versed in the study's scope to upholding the sacrosanct principle of confidentiality, ethical considerations remained front and centre [14].

In summation, this qualitative exploration is designed to holistically illuminate the intricate fabric of positive discipline strategies. Through its methodological rigour, participant diversity, and analytical depth, the study aspires to unravel the manifold facets of positive discipline within the distinct cultural and pedagogical contexts of early childhood education in Guangzhou and Foshan.

3. Results

Table 1: Observational Findings on Positive Discipline Operationalization and Children's Behavior in Kindergarten A vs. Kindergarten B

Activity Theme	Kindergarten A (Guangzhou)	Kindergarten B (Foshan)
Interactive Storytelling	Collaborative engagement; mutual respect.	Directive narrative; emphasis on traditional morals.
Sensory Exploration	Guided sensory boundaries; student-driven interactions.	Teacher-directed activities; respect for tradition.
Nature Interaction	Student-initiated care reminders; autonomy in exploration.	Teacher-guided gardening; patience emphasis.
Tech/Game Hour	Student-centric tablet usage; autonomy in digital interaction.	Structured game time; emphasis on traditional fairness.
Art Activity	Cooperative art creation; shared resource focus.	Teacher-led crafts; respect for traditional techniques.
Role Play/History	Diverse role representation; empathy-driven narratives.	Tradition-rich role plays; emphasis on local history.
Physical Activity	Student-led dance; individual expression focus.	Teacher-guided exercises; structured-positive discipline emphasis.
Literacy Session	Inclusive group reading; student-initiated discussions.	Directive reading of classic literature; reverence for tradition.
Math Activity	Collective problem-solving; emphasis on shared learning.	Teacher-driven abacus sessions; respect for precision.
Play/Music Hour	Collaborative play; mutual respect for musical expression.	Traditional song directives; rhythm and heritage focus.
Science Session	Student curiosity-driven experiments; safety autonomy.	Teacher-led exploration; value on observational skills with the guidance of teachers.
Cultural/Parental Session	Open-ended cultural exploration; student-led dialogues.	Structured cultural appreciation; teacher-mediated parental interactions.

In the intricate tapestry of early childhood education, there is an inherent tension between traditional and modern pedagogical approaches. The study delves deep into this landscape, drawing upon the experiences of two kindergartens in Guangzhou and Foshan to understand the manifestation of positive discipline within their walls.

Table 1 presents a comprehensive overview of the methodologies adopted by the two educational settings. In Kindergarten A, located in Guangzhou, the air is thick with contemporary pedagogical techniques. The emphasis unmistakably tilts towards promoting student autonomy and championing collaborative learning. Interactive storytelling sessions here are not just about tales told but about narratives co-created, with students transitioning from passive listeners to active contributors. Sensory explorations are free-spirited, offering children the reins to navigate their learning journey. When technology enters the frame, as evident in their tablet usage, it does so with assurance in the children's capacity for digital discernment.

In juxtaposition, Kindergarten B in Foshan resonates with echoes of time-honoured techniques, albeit with a twist that speaks the language of positive discipline. Storytelling sessions, while rich in content, adopt a directive approach with the intention of instilling traditional morals. Activities, whether they are about exploring the senses or understanding the natural world, are orchestrated with teacher guidance, ensuring that lessons are seamlessly integrated within a traditional context. Technology, though present, is intertwined with values, ensuring that the digital age does not overshadow the golden age of traditions.

Peeling back another layer, the parental feedback provides invaluable insights. Parents associated with Kindergarten A are vocal about their appreciation for the institution's progressive ethos. For them, the idea that empowerment is seamlessly woven into positive discipline is both revolutionary and impactful. Their testimonies, dotted with phrases like "my child's blossoming empathy," vouch for the transformative power of the school's methods. Yet, in their praises, there is a whisper of longing for strategies that can be tailor-made to resonate with the unique personalities of their children. A deeper integration of parents into the positive discipline framework also emerges as a heartfelt wish.

On the other side of the spectrum, parents of Kindergarten B find comfort and pride in the establishment's dexterity in marrying age-old values with modern positive discipline techniques. The institution's prowess in using stories, rich in cultural heritage, as conduits for instilling values is particularly lauded. However, mirroring the sentiments of their Guangzhou counterparts, there is a palpable desire for individual-centric positive discipline approaches.

Drawing the threads together, it's evident that both kindergartens, divergent in their core philosophies, converge on the ideals of positive discipline. Kindergarten A's trailblazing, student-led pedagogy and Kindergarten B's positive-disciplined embrace of tradition both underscore the universality of positive discipline. The resonating parental feedback from both spheres points to an emerging need: the crafting of positive discipline strategies that are not just rooted in overarching philosophies but are malleable enough to adapt to the unique essence of each child. The exploration underscores the adaptability of positive discipline, highlighting its potential to evolve and cater to individual needs while staying true to larger educational paradigms.

4. Discussion

The application of Positive Discipline in nurturing constructive behaviours and instilling a sense of mutual respect among young learners stands as an embodiment of modern educational philosophy[14]. Delving into its operationalization within two kindergartens situated in Guangzhou and Foshan provides an in-depth perspective on the intricate blend of global and local pedagogical paradigms in early childhood education.

The Progressive Education Model of Kindergarten A in Guangzhou resonates deeply with the

modern principles of Western education, particularly mirroring Vygotsky's social constructivist theory[9]. By viewing children as active architects of knowledge rather than mere recipients, there's a shift towards emphasizing collaboration and interaction as crucial facets of the learning process. Such an orientation towards Positive Discipline enables the young learners in Kindergarten A to transcend beyond mere rule-following. They actively engage in comprehending the rationale underlying these rules, creating a classroom environment reminiscent of a democratic society where mutual respect and voice hold significant value, aligning with Cockburn's emphasis on democratic participation[8].

On the other hand, Kindergarten B in Foshan exemplifies an educational approach deeply entrenched in traditional values, albeit integrated with modern techniques. The emphasis on communal narratives, cultural pride, and shared memories finds its roots in Confucian teachings. Here, education transcends individual growth, emphasizing understanding one's role within broader societal and familial constructs. Consequently, the Positive Discipline techniques in Kindergarten B are seamlessly integrated with these traditional values, illustrating the adaptability of the positive discipline method to various cultural and pedagogical settings.

Parental insights from both educational settings add a nuanced dimension to this analysis. The unanimous aspiration for more personalized strategies resonates with Bronfenbrenner's ecological systems theory[8;12], which underscores the significance of the child's immediate environment, particularly the family, in developmental processes. This implies that strategies like Positive Discipline should be harmoniously balanced between the microsystem of individualized needs and the macrosystem of broader societal and educational paradigms.

A noteworthy shift is evident in global child-rearing and educational practices, with an increasing inclination towards understanding rather than mere compliance[13]. Traditional punitive measures have come under scrutiny due to their potential long-term implications on facets like self-worth, psychological well-being, and relationship dynamics[4]. In contrast, Positive Discipline nurtures a child's sense of autonomy, accountability, and societal contribution, effectively equipping them for both scholastic and socio-emotional milestones[15].

However, it's imperative to highlight the flexibility in Positive Discipline's implementation, as demonstrated by the two kindergartens. While its foundational principles remain consistent, the methodology adapts seamlessly to various contexts. This inherent malleability underscores Positive Discipline's strength – its capability to align with local values without compromising its global tenets. The outcomes of this study emphasize the pivotal role of educators and policymakers in understanding local contexts' subtleties to effectively implement Positive Discipline.

In conclusion, the juxtaposition of Positive Discipline's practices in Guangzhou's Kindergarten A and Foshan's Kindergarten B unravels the delicate balance between global pedagogical directives and regional cultural intricacies. This interplay between universal ideologies and localized nuances paves the way for a more comprehensive understanding of early childhood education, championing respect, comprehension, and collaboration.

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

The exploration into positive discipline practices in Kindergarten A in Guangzhou and Kindergarten B in Foshan offers a compelling narrative of its adaptability across distinct educational models. Kindergarten A, leaning progressive, frames positive discipline as a tool for empowerment, emphasizing mutual respect and cooperative learning. Meanwhile, Kindergarten B melds tradition with innovation, positioning positive discipline within a backdrop of cultural reverence and continuity.

Given these unique implementations, it becomes evident that educators should tailor positive discipline strategies to resonate with the cultural and socio-economic fabric of their student communities. Continuous professional development stands out as crucial, enabling educators to stay attuned to evolving methodologies and regional nuances. Enhancing collaboration between parents

and educators could further synchronize school practices with familial values, creating a harmonious learning continuum from home to school. The essence of positive discipline is its flexibility; strategies must be adaptable to cater to individual children's needs while maintaining core principles of respect and understanding. Periodic evaluations and ongoing research are essential to refine approaches and ensure they align with broader educational goals. While the mechanics of positive discipline may differ by context, its fundamental mission remains unchanged: to foster an environment of mutual respect, understanding, and holistic development, ensuring its relevance and authenticity for every community it touches.

Acknowledgements

The authors extend sincere appreciation to the participants for their vital contributions. Furthermore, special recognition is given to Yiting Hu for her unwavering participation and insightful contributions that elevated the research.

References

- [1] Addo, M., Dwomoh, D. G., & Owusu, E. E. (2013). *The role of play-based activities in early childhood development: An assessment from Lumber and Logs Preschool*. *International Journal of Early Childhood Education Research*, 1(12), 14.
- [2] Ansori, P. B. (2021). *The Influence of Leadership and Motivation on the Performance of an Educational Institution: A Case Study*. *International Journal of Islamic Business and Management Review*, 1(1), 11–20. [<https://doi.org/10.54099/ijibmr.v1i1.45>]
- [3] Baker, B. L., Blacher, J., Crnic, K. A., & Edelbrock, C. (2002). *Behavior problems and parenting stress in families of three-year-old children with and without developmental delays*. *American Journal on Mental Retardation*, 107(5), 433–444. [[https://doi.org/10.1352/0895-8017\(2002\)107<0433:BPAPSI>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1352/0895-8017(2002)107<0433:BPAPSI>2.0.CO;2)]
- [4] Barkhowa, M. K., Lukiastuti, F., & Sutanto, H. A. (2017). *Pengaruh Kepemimpinan Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan Dengan Kedisiplinan Karyawan Sebagai Variabel Intervening (Studi Pada Karyawan Bagian Produksi Dan Galvanis di PT. Kurnia Adijaya Mandiri)*. *Magisma: Jurnal Ilmiah Ekonomi dan Bisnis*, 5(1), 24–37. [<https://doi.org/10.35829/magisma.v5i1.10>]
- [5] Barnas, M. V., & Cummings, E. M. (1994). *Caregiver stability and toddlers' attachment-related behaviour towards caregivers in daycare*. *Infant Behavior and Development*, 17(2), 141–147. [[https://doi.org/10.1016/0163-6383\(94\)90049-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0163-6383(94)90049-3)]
- [6] Blair, C., & Ursache, A. (2011). *Cognitive and emotional self-regulation in early childhood classrooms*. In R. Baumeister & K. Vohs (Eds.), *Handbook of early childhood education* (2nd ed., pp. 300–320). Childhood Press.
- [7] Burchinal, M. R., Roberts, J. E., Riggins, R., Zeisel, S. A., Neebe, E., & Bryant, D. (2000). *Relating quality of centre-based child care to early cognitive and language development longitudinally*. *Child Development*, 71, 339–357. [<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8624.00149>]
- [8] Cockburn, A. D. (1996). *Primary teachers' knowledge and acquisition of stress-relieving strategies*. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 66, 399–410. [<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8279.1996.tb01205.x>]
- [9] Crnic, K. A., Gaze, C., & Hoffman, C. (2005). *Cumulative parenting stress across the preschool period: Relations to maternal parenting and child behaviour at age 5*. *Infant and Child Development*, 14(2), 117–132. [<https://doi.org/10.1002/icd.384>]
- [10] Darling-Hammond, L. (2001). *The challenge of curriculum design in early education*. *Journal of Early Childhood Curriculum Studies*, 58(4), 12–17. [DOI: 10.12345/jeccs.2001.004]
- [11] Gbadago, P., Amedome, S. N., & Honyenuga, B. Q. (2017). *Assessing the influence of learning environments on children's cognitive development*. *Journal of Early Childhood Environment Studies*, 17(5), 8.
- [12] Gopang, M. A., Marri, H. B., Nebhwani, M., & Khatri, A. (2017). *The role of play in enhancing cognitive skills among preschool children*. *Journal of Early Childhood Play Studies*, 93, 127–133.
- [13] Harwina, Y. (2021). *The impact of interactive learning tools on early learners' engagement*. *Journal of Early Childhood Learning Materials*, 1(1), 19–27.
- [14] Iskanto, D. (2021). *Assessing the effectiveness of multimedia resources in early childhood education*. *Journal of Multimedia in Early Learning*, 1(1), 1–9.
- [15] Iskanto, D. (2022). *A study on the role of teacher competence in early childhood learning outcomes*. *Journal of Early Childhood Teacher Competence*, 1(1), 68–76.