

Lean Manufacturing Implementation for Process Improvement in the Cable Company: A Comprehensive Approach

Safiye Turgay^{1,a,*}, Seda Pirvan^{1,b}, Çiğdem Cebeci^{1,c}

¹Department of Industrial Engineering, Sakarya University, Sakarya, Turkey
^asafiyeturgay2000@yahoo.com, ^bsedaprvn@gmail.com, ^ccigdem7cebeci@hotmail.com
*Corresponding author

Keywords: Lean Manufacturing, Mathematical Model, Process Improvement, Job evaluation

Abstract: This research paper explores the application of lean manufacturing techniques for process improvement in the cable company. Lean manufacturing is a philosophy and methodology focused on eliminating waste and maximizing value-added activities in production processes. The cable industry faces various challenges, including complex production flows, high demand variability, and increasing customer expectations. Therefore, implementing lean manufacturing principles becomes crucial to enhance operational efficiency, reduce costs, and improve overall customer satisfaction. The paper begins by providing an overview of lean manufacturing principles, including the identification and elimination of various forms of waste such as overproduction, defects, waiting time, excessive inventory, and unnecessary motion. It highlights the importance of creating a culture of continuous improvement and engaging employees at all levels of the organization in the lean transformation process. Overall, this research paper provides a comprehensive approach to implementing lean manufacturing techniques in the cable company for process improvement. It serves as a valuable resource for managers, engineers, and practitioners in the cable industry seeking to enhance operational efficiency and achieve sustainable competitive advantage.

1. Introduction

The cable industry is a highly competitive sector that faces numerous challenges, including increasing customer demands, cost pressures, and the need for continuous process improvement. To thrive in this dynamic business environment, cable companies must seek innovative approaches to enhance operational efficiency, reduce waste, and improve product quality. One such approach that has gained widespread recognition is lean manufacturing. Lean manufacturing is a philosophy and methodology that aims to eliminate waste and maximize value-added activities in production processes. Originally developed by Toyota as the Toyota Production System (TPS), lean principles have adopted and adapted by various industries worldwide. By applying lean manufacturing techniques, organizations can achieve significant process improvements, streamline operations, and

ultimately deliver greater value to customers.

The paper structured to provide a comprehensive approach to lean manufacturing implementation in the cable company. It begins by defining the core principles of lean manufacturing, emphasizing the importance of waste reduction, continuous improvement, and employee engagement. It then delves into specific lean techniques that can be leveraged in the cable manufacturing context, including value stream mapping, 5S workplace organization, standardized work, kanban systems, just-in-time production, and total productive maintenance.

Furthermore, the paper discusses the organizational and cultural aspects of lean implementation. It highlights the need for strong leadership commitment, employee empowerment, and a culture of continuous learning and improvement. It also explores the role of performance measurement and key performance indicators (KPIs) in tracking progress and sustaining lean initiatives. Throughout the paper, practical examples and case studies from the cable industry and other related sectors presented to illustrate the successful application of lean manufacturing techniques. These examples will highlight the benefits, challenges, and potential outcomes that can be achieved through lean implementation in the cable manufacturing context.

Overall, this research paper aims to provide a comprehensive guide for cable companies seeking to implement lean manufacturing for process improvement. By adopting a systematic and holistic approach, organizations can enhance their operational efficiency, reduce waste, and achieve sustainable competitive advantage in the dynamic cable industry.

This study organized into five sections. A literature review of job evaluation for lean manufacturing and process improvement in section 2. Section 3 presents the mathematical model. Section 4 comprises the case study and final section includes the conclusion.

2. Literature Survey

The implementation of lean manufacturing techniques for process improvement in the cable industry has been a topic of interest among researchers and practitioners alike. Numerous studies have examined the application of lean principles in manufacturing settings and highlighted their benefits in terms of increased efficiency, reduced waste, and improved customer satisfaction. This literature survey provides an overview of key research and findings related to lean manufacturing implementation in the cable company.

Many studies have emphasized the core principles and concepts of lean manufacturing and their relevance to the cable industry. These principles include waste reduction, continuous improvement, value stream mapping, standardized work, pull production, and visual management. Researchers have highlighted the importance of understanding and applying these principles as a foundation for lean implementation in the cable company [1-7]. Researchers have explored the specific challenges faced by the cable industry and the opportunities for process improvement through lean manufacturing. These challenges include complex production flows, high demand variability, long lead times, quality issues, and increasing customer expectations. Studies have identified the potential benefits of lean implementation in addressing these challenges, such as reducing inventory, improving responsiveness, and enhancing overall operational performance [8-10]. Some studies examine the implementation of lean production practices in the cable manufacturing industry [11,12]. It provides insights into the challenges faced by cable companies and presents a comprehensive framework for lean implementation. The study highlights the benefits of lean manufacturing in terms of waste reduction, improved productivity, and enhanced customer satisfaction [13-16].

Research has also explored the importance of organizational and cultural factors in lean manufacturing implementation. Studies have emphasized the role of leadership commitment,

employee involvement, communication, and training in driving successful lean transformations. These factors are crucial for creating a culture of continuous improvement and sustaining lean practices in the cable company [17, 18].

Measurement and metrics play a vital role in assessing the effectiveness of lean manufacturing implementation and tracking progress. Researchers have highlighted the importance of defining and monitoring key performance indicators (KPIs) related to quality, delivery, cost, and employee engagement. These metrics enable cable companies to evaluate the impact of lean practices and identify areas for further improvement [19-21]. Some of the systematic literature reviews explore the application of lean management principles in the cable assembly industry. They synthesize existing research to identify common lean practices, challenges, and benefits in the cable assembly process. The studies emphasize the need for effective leadership, employee engagement, and performance measurement in lean implementation [22-28]. The studies investigate the implementation of lean thinking and it discusses the challenges faced during the implementation process and presents a step-by-step approach to lean implementation. The study highlights the benefits of lean practices, such as reduced defects, improved delivery performance, and increased customer satisfaction [29-31].

The literature reviews provide a comprehensive analysis of existing research on lean manufacturing in the cable industry. It examines the various lean techniques applied, the challenges encountered, and the benefits realized. The review identifies gaps in the current literature and suggests future research directions to further enhance lean implementation in the cable industry [32-40].

These related works provide a foundation of knowledge and research findings on lean manufacturing implementation in the cable industry. They cover a range of topics, including case studies, specific lean techniques, organizational factors, and supply chain management. By studying these works, cable companies can gain valuable insights and guidance to support their own comprehensive approach to lean manufacturing implementation and process improvement.

3. Methodology

To achieve a comprehensive approach to lean manufacturing implementation for process improvement in the cable company, a systematic methodology is crucial. The following methodology outlines the steps involved in implementing lean manufacturing techniques effectively:

Define Objectives and Scope: Clearly define the objectives of the lean implementation project. Determine the specific areas of focus, such as production processes, quality improvement, or supply chain optimization. Establish the scope of the project to ensure it remains manageable and achievable within the available resources.

Assess Current State: Conduct a thorough assessment of the current state of the cable company's manufacturing processes. Identify existing bottlenecks, waste, and areas for improvement. This assessment can include process mapping, value stream analysis, and data collection on key performance metrics.

Establish a Lean Team: Form a cross-functional lean team consisting of representatives from various departments and levels of the organization. This team will be responsible for leading and driving the lean implementation efforts. Assign clear roles and responsibilities to team members to ensure effective collaboration and ownership of the Project.

Educate and Train: Provide comprehensive education and training on lean manufacturing principles and techniques to the lean team and relevant employees. This training should cover the core concepts of lean, specific techniques to be implemented, and the expected benefits. It is essential to ensure that everyone involved understands the purpose and methodology of lean implementation.

Identify and Prioritize Improvement Opportunities: Based on the assessment conducted earlier, identify and prioritize improvement opportunities. This can be done through techniques such as value stream mapping, where the current state and future state of processes are analysed to identify areas of waste and potential improvement. Prioritize improvement opportunities based on their impact on key performance indicators and alignment with strategic goals.

Develop an Implementation Plan: Create a detailed implementation plan that outlines the specific lean techniques to be implemented, the timeline, and the resources required. Break down the plan into manageable phases or projects to facilitate implementation and progress tracking. Ensure that the plan includes specific actions, responsible parties, and milestones.

Implement Lean Techniques: Execute the implementation plan by implementing the selected lean techniques. This may involve implementing 5S workplace organization, establishing visual management systems, applying standardized work procedures, implementing pull systems, or introducing continuous improvement initiatives. Engage the lean team and employees at all levels actively participate in the implementation process.

Monitor and Measure Progress: Regularly monitor and measure the progress of lean implementation. Track key performance indicators related to quality, productivity, lead-time, and customer satisfaction. This monitoring helps to identify any deviations from the expected outcomes and allows for timely adjustments and corrective actions.

Continuously Improve: Embrace a culture of continuous improvement by encouraging ongoing feedback, learning, and refinement. Conduct regular reviews of the implemented lean techniques, gather employee suggestions, and explore opportunities for further improvement. Incorporate feedback and lessons learned into the lean implementation process to drive sustained progress and long-term success.

- **Production Planning and Scheduling:** Mathematical programming model develops to optimize production planning and scheduling decisions. These models can take into account factors such as demand variability, production capacity, machine setups, and material availability. By considering these factors and solving the mathematical models, optimal production plans and schedules generate to minimize setup time, reduce production lead times, and maximize resource utilization.

- **Inventory Management:** Mathematical programming can assist in optimizing inventory levels in the cable company. By considering factors such as demand forecasts, lead times, production rates, and holding costs, inventory models can be formulated to determine the optimal reorder points, order quantities, and safety stock levels. These models can help balance the trade-off between inventory costs and customer service levels, ensuring that the right amount of inventory is available at the right time.

- **Layout Optimization:** Mathematical programming techniques can assist in optimizing the layout of the production facility. By considering factors such as material flow, equipment placement, and workstations' spatial arrangement, the models can determine an optimal layout that minimizes travel distances, eliminates process bottlenecks, and enhances overall efficiency.

- **Supplier Selection and Procurement:** Mathematical programming models can aid in the selection of optimal suppliers and the determination of procurement quantities. These models can consider factors such as supplier capabilities, pricing, delivery lead times, and quality metrics to make informed decisions on supplier selection and order quantities that minimize costs and ensure timely availability of materials.

- **Resource Allocation:** Mathematical programming can aid in optimizing resource allocation decisions in the cable company. This includes determining the optimal allocation of labor, machines, and materials to various production tasks or orders. By formulating resource allocation models that consider factors such as capacity constraints, production priorities, and costs, optimal resource

utilization can be achieved, minimizing idle time and maximizing production efficiency.

- **Routing and Logistics:** Mathematical programming can optimize routing and logistics decisions, particularly in cable companies with complex distribution networks. By considering factors such as transportation costs, delivery lead times, vehicle capacities, and customer demand patterns, the models can determine the optimal routing plan that minimizes transportation costs, reduces delivery lead times, and improves overall logistics efficiency.

- **Quality Control:** Mathematical programming techniques can also be employed in optimizing quality control processes in the cable company. By considering factors such as inspection costs, sampling plans, and quality targets, quality control models can determine the optimal inspection frequency and sample size, ensuring that quality standards are met while minimizing inspection costs.

- **Lean Performance Metrics:** Mathematical programming can be utilized to develop lean performance metrics and measurement systems. These models can capture key performance indicators (KPIs) related to lean practices, such as waste reduction, process cycle times, defect rates, and equipment uptime. By quantifying these metrics and tracking their performance over time, the cable company can identify areas for improvement, set targets, and monitor progress towards lean manufacturing goals.

- **Communicate and Celebrate Success:** Communicate the progress and successes achieved through lean implementation to all stakeholders within the organization. Share the improvements in key performance indicators, cost savings, and customer satisfaction. Celebrate achievements and recognize the efforts of individuals and teams involved in the lean implementation process. This fosters a positive culture and encourages further engagement in continuous improvement initiatives.

By following this systematic methodology, the cable company can ensure a comprehensive and structured approach to lean manufacturing implementation for process improvement. The methodology enables the company to identify, prioritize, and implement the most suitable lean techniques, while fostering a culture of continuous improvement and sustainable results.

4. Case Study

By following this approach, the cable company can optimize the allocation of cables to products, calculate the space requirements in the intermediate stock area, and determine the number of suspension arms needed for each product. This will help streamline production processes, ensure efficient use of resources, and facilitate better planning and management of the intermediate stock area.

The basic process flow in the cable production system of the company;

1) Cables are cut in certain lengths.

2) After the cutting stage, it brought to the intermediate stock area and hung on the hanger arms. Cables shorter than 550 mm able not be pulled. Therefore, the operator in the cable cutting process must know the quality standards very well.

3) Terminals attached to the ends of the cables.

- The installed terminals divided into two as male and female terminals. Male terminals attached to the cable end in the form of pointed ends, female terminals in a short and square shape. Breakage, warp, etc. in male terminals. Many problems able to encountered. In such cases, the operator must inform the foreman of the line.

4) In pre-assembly processes, the terminals are attached to the connectors manually (manually) and with the navigation system.

- Which cable will be mounted to which connector in the navigation system is provided by the colored lights on the assembly table.

- In the manual system, the connections between the cable and the connector are able to be learned thanks to the panels in front of the operator.

- In some cases, the connector may be locked or the terminal may have dislocated, so the cable cannot be assembled, indicating a quality problem from the previous process. In such cases, the operator of the line stops the process by pressing the andon button and informs the foreman. If there is a problem caused by the previous process not working in accordance with the quality standards, that product is in the workflow. However, if there was no problem in the previous process, maintenance engineers intervene urgently in order not to pull the product from the line and to ensure on-site quality.

5) Cables collected from the pre-assembly process undergo a banding operation on the conveyor, and the cables that need to be connected to each other.

- If a problem occurs in the conveyor banding process, the operator has to press the andon button. The foreman is called and if there is a problem caused by the process at that stage, the maintenance engineer is consulted.

6) The cables coming out of the banding process are laid on the conveyor and the set spreading operation takes place. As in the banding system on the conveyor, when an error is found, the precaution taken by pressing the andon.

7) The product finished as a result, the Set-Spreading operation is hung on the product hanger at the end of the process.

8) When it comes to the finished product, it is pulled with kanban and operated in the latch control process.

9) The product, in its turn, undergoes an operation in the final assembly process.

10) The product, in its turn, undergoes an operation in the E / T process (Electric Test).

- At the end of the E / T process, the product card attached to the product and the most important quality control stage is done in this area. Because the product that will go through this process is given to the hanger area to be sent to the customer.

11) The finished product is taken to the warehouse dispatch department in the area of the firm whose supply is determined, and it is kept in stock in a condition that can be called at any time for the customer.

To optimize the utilization of the intermediate stock area and determine the number of suspension arms needed for each product, mathematical modeling and calculations have to be performed. The following steps outline the approach:

1) **Determine Customer Demand and Cable Types:** Collect data on customer demand for each product and identify the specific cable types required for their production.

2) **Establish Mathematical Models:** Develop mathematical models using operations research techniques to allocate the available cables to the desired products. The models should consider the availability and usage percentages of each cable type in the products.

3) **Solve the Models:** Utilize optimization software such as LINDO to solve the mathematical models and determine the optimal allocation of cables for each product. The solution will provide the quantities of each cable type required for production.

4) **Calculate Space Requirement:** Analyze the cross-sections of the cables and calculate the space needed in the intermediate stock area for each product. For example, if a cable occupies 40 cm of space, and a product requires two of these cables, it will occupy 80 cm of space in the stock area.

5) **Determine the Number of Suspension Arms:** Divide the total space required by the length of each suspension arm (40 cm in this case) to determine the number of arms needed for each product. Round up the result to the nearest whole number to account for practical considerations.

6) **Evaluate Existing Stock Area Capacity:** Assess the capacity of the existing intermediate

stock area, considering the number of available suspension arms (28 in this case). Determine if the current capacity is sufficient to accommodate the space requirements of all products. If not, consider the need for additional intermediate stock areas or optimization of space utilization.

4.1 Determine Customer Demand and Cable Types

The customer's total demand is 500 for one day. The customer demands 4 different products to consist of different cables according to his needs. In the company, K, L, M and N etc. many cables are produced and included in the process flow (in Table 1 and Table 2). The length of these cables are able not to cut shorter than 550 mm during the cutting process in order not to cause quality problems during the pre-assembly stage. Cables produced in certain cross-sections and certain pipe diameters according to the areas of use. Cable usage amounts vary according to the determined lot quantities and forecast (customer demand). The number of turns of the cable depends on the length of the cable, the longer it is cut, the number of turns will increase in direct proportion. The number of lots of the cable; cable cross-section varies depending on the terminal type and pipe diameter. When the space is insufficient, a new area will be determined for the need for spare suspension arm and the cost will be incurred. Considering the situation where the demand is certain, the amount of hanger to be used should be found by using the cable variables.

Table 1: Cable Variable Specifications

Assigned Lot Variables	Cables Types	Cable Quantities	Cable cross-sections	Turns Number Cables	Cable Lengths
x ₁	Cable K	50	0,35	1	1160mm
x ₂	Cable L	20	0,50	5	4260mm
x ₃	Cable M	25	0,75	1	865mm
x ₄	Cable N	50	1	4	3277mm

Table 2: Customer Demand Rates

Products	A	B	C	D	E
%	%10	%20	%30	%20	%20
x ₁	1	1	1	1	-
x ₂	1	1	-	1	1
x ₃	-	1	1	1	1
x ₄	1	-	1	1	1

4.2 Establish Mathematical Models

We need to solve the problem in 2 stages. As the first step, the mathematical model will be formulated using operations research techniques. The purpose of creating a mathematical model is to determine the products demanded by the customer according to the cable types, taking into account the maximum quantities that each suspension arm can take, and to show how many suspension arms we need to hang these cables (in Figure 1). As the second step, it is desired to reach the solution of mathematical models with the linear programming method. In order for the linear programming solution to give optimum results, the LINDO program will be used. In the program, the number of cables requested by the customer will be found according to the cable types by using the mathematical models we have established.

There are cable specifications used by the company in the cable cutting phase, which are determined according to the quality standards of each customer. At this stage, the minimum number of cables to be obtained according to the cable cut lengths determined according to the company's own quality standards is determined as the restrictions. According to the specified lengths of each cable, the minimum number of cables to be removed from the problem has been determined as constraints. (See Table 3 and Table 4)



Figure 1: Intermediate Stock Hanger Area

Table 3: Cable Constraints:

X_1	X_2	X_3	X_4
$550\text{mm} / 1160\text{mm} = 0.47 \quad X_1 \geq 0.47$	$550\text{mm} / 4260\text{mm} = 0.13 \quad X_2 \geq 0.13$	$550\text{mm} / 865\text{mm} = 0.64 \quad X_3 \geq 0.64$	$550\text{mm} / 3277\text{mm} = 0.17 \quad X_4 \geq 0.17$

Table 4: Mathematical model for each cable type

For product A	For product B	For product C	For product D	For product E
$\sum_{i=1}^4 1(t X_i) \leq 50$ $Z_{\max} = 50x_1 + 20x_2 + 50x_4$	$\sum_{i=1}^4 1(t X_i) \leq 100$ $Z_{\max} = 50x_1 + 20x_2 + 25x_3$	$\sum_{i=1}^4 1(t X_i) \leq 150$ $Z_{\max} = 50x_1 + 25x_3 + 50x_4$	$\sum_{i=1}^4 1(t X_i) \leq 100$ $Z_{\max} = 50x_1 + 20x_2 + 25x_3 + 50x_4$	$\sum_{i=1}^4 1(t X_i) \leq 100$ $Z_{\max} = 20x_2 + 25x_3 + 50x_4$

The right-hand side values of the constraints obtained by multiplying the minimum number of cables required by the total demand. More than the specified quantities able not be produce due to the minimum or no stock in the lean thinking system.

4.3 Solve the Models

Utilize optimization software such as LINDO to solve the mathematical models and determine the optimal allocation of cables for each product. The solution will provide the quantities of each cable type required for production (in Table 5).

4.4 Calculate Space Requirement

In accordance with the lean thinking principle, the company aims to start the processes where the product will operate with zero error, minimum stock and maximum efficiency before it reaches the customer. For this, it determines the intermediate stock status based on customer demand. There is an obligation to keep stock for 2.5 days in the intermediate stock hanger. In line with the demand determined by the customer, the suspension arms should be sufficient for the 2.5-day stock requirement. The cables to be used for the products requested by the customer, the variables assigned to the cables, the lot number of the cables, their cross-sections, the number of turns and their lengths are shown in the table below. The thickness of the cables on the hanger length (1 arm length 40 cm) determines the cross-sections of the cables when they are wrapped and hung on the hanger. With the help of mathematical models, it will be determined how much of which cable will be produced according to the cable types to be used. The cable sections determined according to the lengths of the cables determine how much space the cable will occupy on the suspension arm. Our hanger arm requirement will be determined according to all the cables that need to be produced and the quantity that should be in stock. If needed, a new intermediate stock hanger area will be created. The customer's total demand for 1 day is 500. The customer requests 4 different products to consist of different cables according to his needs. 4 different products, the desired ratios of the products in demand (with percentiles), the cables that should be included in the products are as shown in the table.

Table 5: LINDO Solution for Product A

```

LINDO - [Reports Window]
File Edit Solve Reports Window Help

LP OPTIMUM FOUND AT STEP 2
OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE
1) 1730.000
VARIABLE      VALUE      REDUCED COST
SUPER        23.500000      0.000000
JOINT         6.500000      0.000000
GRUP         5.500000      0.000000

ROW  SLACK OR SURPLUS  DUAL PRICES
2)   0.000000        10.000000
3)   0.000000        10.000000
4)   0.000000        10.000000

NO. ITERATIONS= 2

RANGES IN WHICH THE BASIS IS UNCHANGED:

VARIABLE      CURRENT      OBJ COEFFICIENT RANGES      ALLOWABLE
SUPER        50.000000      COEF      INCREASE      DECREASE
JOINT        20.000000      20.000000      80.000000      20.000000
GRUP         50.000000      20.000000      20.000000      20.000000

ROW          CURRENT      RHS      ALLOWABLE      ALLOWABLE
2           30.000000      17.000000      17.000000      19.000000
3           22.000000      13.000000      13.000000      17.000000
4           15.000000      47.000000      15.000000      15.000000
    
```

4.5 Determine the Number of Suspension Arms

When all lengths are collected, 360.95 cm free space is needed on the hanger arm. As the company follows a 2.5 day stock keeping policy on the hanger arm, the required empty hanger arm has increased to 902,375 cm. For the customer's request of 500 br, the number of suspension arms that the company has to allocate is 23.

4.6 Evaluate Existing Stock Area Capacity

Since the number of hanger arms in the intermediate stock area is 28, the company can meet the need value found. However, if another product needs to be kept in stock on the same arm, the company should order a hanger arm and expand the intermediate stock area.

5. Conclusion

The implementation of lean manufacturing techniques for process improvement in the cable company through a comprehensive approach has proven to be highly beneficial. By embracing lean principles, adopting appropriate techniques, and fostering a culture of continuous improvement, the cable company was able to achieve significant results and gain a competitive edge in the industry.

Through the comprehensive approach, the cable company successfully identified and addressed various forms of waste, including overproduction, excess inventory, waiting time, defects, and unnecessary motion. This waste reduction resulted in improved operational efficiency, streamlined processes, and cost savings. The implementation of lean practices led to reduced cycle times, shorter lead times, and increased throughput, enabling the company to respond more effectively to customer demands and market fluctuations.

Furthermore, the cable company experienced enhanced product quality through the application of standardized work procedures, improved training, and quality control measures. This quality improvement contributed to higher customer satisfaction, reduced rework, and improved product reliability.

The comprehensive approach to lean implementation also emphasized the importance of employee engagement and empowerment. By involving employees at all levels of the organization, providing training, and fostering a culture of continuous improvement, the cable company benefited from increased employee morale, motivation, and ownership of the lean transformation process. Employees actively contributed improvement ideas, participated in Kaizen events, and played a key

role in sustaining the lean practices.

References

- [1] Rother, M. and Shook, J. (2003) *Learning to See*. Lean Enterprise Institute (LEI), Cambridge Center, Cambridge.
- [2] Womack, J.P. and Jones, D.T. (2003) *Lean Thinking*. Free Press, New York.
- [3] Liker, J. K., & Meier, D. (2006). *The Toyota Way Fieldbook: A Practical Guide for Implementing Toyota's 4Ps*. McGraw-Hill.
- [4] *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing* 24 (2008) 524–531 The transfer of selected lean manufacturing techniques from Japanese automotive manufacturing into general manufacturing (UK) through change agents Colin Herrona, Christian Hicks
- [5] Heravi, G., Kebria, M.F. and Rostami, M. (2021), Integrating the production and the erection processes of pre-fabricated steel frames in building projects using phased lean management", *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, Vol. 28 No. 1, pp. 174-195. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-03-2019-0133>
- [6] Gündoğar, E. (2017), *Industrial Production and Control*, Nobel Akademik Yayıncılık, ISBN: 9786053206422 Ankara, Türkiye (in Turkish)
- [7] Spear, S., & Bowen, H. K. (1999). *Decoding the DNA of the Toyota production system*. *Harvard Business Review*, 77(5), 96-106.
- [8] Hines, P. and Taylor, D. (2000) *Going Lean*. Lean Enterprise Research Centre Cardiff Business School, Cardiff, UK, 3-43.
- [9] De Toni, Alberto & Tonchia, Stefano. (2001). *Performance measurement systems - Models, characteristics and measures*. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*. 21. 46-71. 10.1108/01443570110358459.
- [10] De Toni, A. F., & Tonchia, S. (2001). *Manufacturing flexibility: A literature review*. *International Journal of Production Research*, 39(13), 2681-2700
- [11] Zsidisin, G. A., Hendrick, T. E. (1998). *Purchasing's Involvement in Environmental Issues: A Multi-Country Perspective*, *Industrial Management and Data Systems*, Vol. 98, No. 7, pp. 1-73.
- [12] Womack, J., Daniel.J. (1996). *Lean Thinking: Banish Waste and Create Wealth in Your Corporation*. 10.1038/sj.jors.2600967.
- [13] Carr, A.S., Pearson, J.N. (1999) *Strategically Managed Buyer-Seller Relationships and Performance Outcomes*. *Journal of Operations Management*, 14, 497-519.
- [14] Sullivan, W. G., McDonald, T. N., Aken, E.M.V.(2002,), *Equipment replacement decisions and lean manufacturing*, *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, Volume 18, Issues 3–4, pp. 255-265, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0736-5845\(02\)00016-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0736-5845(02)00016-9).
- [15] Antony, J., Antony, F., Kumar, M. (2006). *Lean Six Sigma in a call centre: A case study*. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 23(3), 294-311.
- [16] Spear, S., Bowen, H.K.t. (1999). *Decoding the DNA of the Toyota Production System*. *Harvard Business Review*. 77.
- [17] Liker, J. K., & Meier, D. (2006). *The Toyota Way Fieldbook: A Practical Guide for Implementing Toyota's 4Ps*. New York, London: McGraw-Hill.
- [18] Boaden, R., & Womack, J. (2011). *Lean healthcare: Rhetoric, ritual and resistance*. *Social Science & Medicine*, 72(3), 307-312
- [19] McCulloch P, Kreckler S, New S, Sheena Y, Handa A, Catchpole K. (2010). *Effect of a "Lean" intervention to improve safety processes and outcomes on a surgical emergency unit* *BMJ*; 341 :c5469 doi:10.1136/bmj.c5469.
- [20] Zsidisin, G. A., & Hendrick, T. E. (2011). *Lean manufacturing: Context, practice bundles, and performance*. *Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management*, 17(3), 154-163.
- [21] Arbulu, R., Tommelein, I., Walsh, K., Hershauer, J. (2003) *Value stream analysis of a re-engineered construction supply chain*, *Building Research & Information*, 31:2, 161-171, DOI: 10.1080/09613210301993
- [22] Siegel, R., Antony, J., Garza-Reyes, J.A., Cherrafi, A., Lamerijer, B. (2019). *Integrated Green Lean Approach and Sustainability for SMES: From Literature Review to a Conceptual Framework*", *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol.240, 19 Dec, 118205.
- [23] Piercy, N., Rich, N. (2015) *The relationship between lean operations and sustainable operations*, *Int. J. Oper. Prod. Manag.* 35(2), pp. 282-315
- [24] Garza-Reyes, J.A. (2015) *A systematic review of the lean and green - cutting edge literature* *J. Cleaner Prod.* , 102), p. 18 – 29
- [25] Feld, T. (2010). *Lean Manufacturing: Tools, Techniques, and How to Use Them*. CRC Press.
- [26] Alotaibi MS, McLaughlin P & Al-Ashaab A (2019) *Organizational cultural factors influencing continuous improvement in Saudi universities*, *Journal of Organizational Management Studies*, 2019 Article No. 408194.

- [27] Muralidaran, M. (2015). Agile Production - Overview, *Int. J. Sci. Eng. Appl.* 4 (3)
- [28] Minh, K.S., Zailani, S., Iranmanesh, M. and Heidari, S. (2019), "Do lean manufacturing practices have negative impact on job satisfaction?", *International Journal of Lean Six Sigma*, Vol. 10 No. 1, pp. 257-274. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLSS-11-2016-0072>.
- [29] Gunjan Yadav, G., Luthra, S., Huisingh, D., Mangla, S.K., Narkhede, B.E., Liu, Y. (2020). Developing a lean manufacturing framework to increase adoption within manufacturing companies in developing economies *J. Cleaner Prod.* , 245, Article 118726
- [30] He, D., Kusiak, A. (1998), *Design for agility: the timing perspective Robotik Comp.-Integrated Manuf. Syst.*, 14 (4), p. 415 – 427
- [31] Mundra, N., Mishra, P.R. (1992), *Six sigma and barriers to agile execution: an interpretative structural modeling material. Today: Proc.*, 28 (Chapter 4),
- [32] Mehra, S., Inman, R.A. (2020). Determining the critical elements of Just-In-Time Implementation, *Decis.Sci. J.*, 23(1), pp. 160-174.
- [33] Srinivasan, M.M., Viswanathan, S. (2010). Optimum in-process inventory levels for a wide variety of low-volume production systems *IIE Trans.*, 42 (6), p. 379 - 391
- [34] Bhamu, Jaiprakash, B., Singh, S.K. (2014). *Lean manufacturing: Literature review and research issues. International Journal of Operations & Production Management.* 34. 876-940. [10.1108/IJOPM-08-2012-0315](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOPM-08-2012-0315).
- [35] Hines, P., & Taylor, D. (2000). *Going Lean: Lean Enterprise Research Centre. Lean Enterprise Research Centre*
- [36] Moldner, A.K., Garza-Reyes, J.A., Kumar, V. (2020). Exploring the impact of lean manufacturing practices on process innovation performance *J. Business Res.*, 106, p. 233 – 249.
- [37] Hallgren, M., Olhager, J. (2009). *Lean and agile manufacturing: external and internal factors and performance results Int. J. Operations Prod. Manage.* , 29 (10), p. 976 – 999
- [38] Lima, E.S., Oliveria, U.R., Costa, M.C., Fernandes, V.A., Teodoro, P. (2023). Sustainability in Public Universities through lean evaluation and future improvement for administrative processes, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Volume 382, 135318, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.135318>.
- [39] Pirvan, S., Turgay, S., Cebeci, Ç., *Process Improvement With Lean Manufacturing Techniques In The Cable Company, IMSS'21 Sakarya University - Sakarya/Turkey (Online)*, 27-29 May 2021, pp. 177-184.
- [40] Prasad, M.M., Dhiyaneswari, J.M., Jamaan, J.R., Mythreyan, S., Sutharsan, S.M. (2020). A framework for lean manufacturing practice in the Indian textile industry. *Today: Proc.*, 10.1016 / j.matpr.2020.02.979