Curriculum and Teaching Methodology (2023)
Clausius Scientific Press, Canada

DOI: 10.23977/curtm.2023.061015
ISSN 2616-2261 Vol. 6 Num. 10

Enhancing Dynamic Capabilities of Project-Centered Course Teams and Mechanisms for Student Innovation Development

Jun Xu[1,2,a,*], Gengmin Jiang[1,2,b], Liying Xing[1,2,c], Wenyu Zheng[1,2,d]

[1]Department of Civil Engineering and Architecture, Nanyang Normal University, Nanyang, Henan, 473061, China
[2]Nanyang Lingyu Machinery Co., Ltd., Nanyang, Henan, 473000, China
[a]xujunhit@126.com, [b]jianggengmin2013@126.com, [c]xlynany@163.com, [d]zhengwyny@126.com
[*]corresponding Author

Keywords: Project-centered Courses, Dynamic Capabilities, Innovation Development, Student Empowerment, Learning Culture

Abstract: Project-centered courses have become increasingly popular in higher education institutions, providing opportunities for students to develop skills in project management, collaboration, and innovation. However, to achieve effective student innovation development, course teams need to possess dynamic capabilities that can continuously adapt to changing environmental conditions and create new opportunities for innovation. This paper proposes a framework to enhance the dynamic capabilities of project-centered course teams and discusses the mechanisms for student innovation development. The framework consists of four stages: (1) building awareness of dynamic capabilities, (2) developing a shared vision, (3) creating organizational structures and processes, and (4) fostering a learning culture. The mechanisms for student innovation development include: (1) providing access to resources and mentorship, (2) encouraging experimentation and risk-taking, (3) developing skills in design thinking and agility, and (4) fostering a mindset of continuous improvement. The paper concludes by discussing the implications of this framework for enhancing student innovation development in project-centered courses.

1. Introduction

Project-centered courses have gained popularity in higher education institutions as a means of providing students with hands-on experiences in project management, collaboration, and innovation. These courses follow an experiential learning approach that emphasizes the importance of learning through engagement in real-world projects [1-4]. Project-centered courses can be found in a variety of disciplines, including engineering, computer science, business, and design.

The success of project-centered courses in developing student innovation and problem-solving skills depends on the capabilities of the course teams that oversee them. Dynamic capabilities are essential for course teams to continuously adapt to changing environmental conditions and create new opportunities for innovation [2]. However, many course teams lack the necessary dynamic

capabilities to effectively manage project-centered courses [5-7]. Therefore, there is a need to enhance the dynamic capabilities of project-centered course teams to maximize the benefits of these courses for student innovation development.

This paper proposes a framework to enhance the dynamic capabilities of project-centered course teams and discusses the mechanisms for student innovation development within project-centered courses. The framework consists of four stages: building awareness of dynamic capabilities, developing a shared vision, creating organizational structures and processes, and fostering a learning culture. The mechanisms for student innovation development include: providing access to resources and mentorship, encouraging experimentation and risk-taking, developing skills in design thinking and agility, and fostering a mindset of continuous improvement [8-10].

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides a review of the literature on project-centered courses, dynamic capabilities, and innovation development. Section 3 presents the framework for enhancing the dynamic capabilities of project-centered course teams, while Section 4 discusses the mechanisms for student innovation development. Section 5 concludes the paper and provides recommendations for future research.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Project-Centered Courses

Project-centered courses are courses that emphasize the development of practical skills through engagement in real-world projects [11-13]. These courses are designed to provide students with opportunities to work on projects that mimic real-world scenarios, providing them with the opportunity to apply the knowledge they have learned in the classroom to practical problems [14]. Project-centered courses are often interdisciplinary and involve teams of students working on projects that require collaboration and communication.

Project-centered courses have been found to be effective in improving student learning outcomes, especially in developing problem-solving, critical thinking, and communication skills [15]. In addition, students who take project-centered courses have been found to be more engaged and motivated compared to those who take traditional lecture-based courses [16-17].

2.2. Dynamic Capabilities

Dynamic capabilities refer to a firm's ability to adapt to changing environmental conditions and create new opportunities for innovation [18]. Dynamic capabilities can be categorized into three types: sensing, seizing, and transforming [19]. Sensing capabilities refer to the ability to identify and interpret changes in the environment. Seizing capabilities refer to the ability to take advantage of new opportunities created by changes in the environment. Transforming capabilities refer to the ability to change the internal structures and processes of an organization to adapt to changes in the environment.

Dynamic capabilities are critical for firms to succeed in dynamic and uncertain environments [20]. In the context of education, dynamic capabilities are essential for course teams to effectively manage project-centered courses and seize opportunities for student innovation development.

2.3. Innovation Development

Innovation development refers to the process of creating new ideas, products, or services that provide value to stakeholders [21]. Innovation development is an essential aspect of project-centered courses, as these courses provide opportunities for students to develop skills in

innovation and entrepreneurship. The innovation development process can be divided into four stages: (1) idea generation, (2) problem definition, (3) prototype development, and (4) market testing [22]. Effective innovation development requires a supportive environment that fosters creativity and experimentation.

While project-centered courses have been found to be effective in developing student innovation skills, there is a need for course teams to enhance their dynamic capabilities to maximize the benefits of these courses for student innovation development.

3. Framework for Enhancing Dynamic Capabilities of Project-Centered Course Teams

The framework for enhancing the dynamic capabilities of project-centered course teams consists of four stages, as shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Four stages of the framework

Number	Content
Stage 1	building awareness of dynamic capabilities
Stage 2	developing a shared vision
Stage 3	creating organizational structures and processes,
Stage 4	fostering a learning culture

These four stages are discussed in detail below.

Stage 1: Building Awareness of Dynamic Capabilities

The first stage of the framework is building awareness of dynamic capabilities among course teams. Course teams need to understand the importance of dynamic capabilities and the role they play in managing project-centered courses effectively. This stage involves educating course teams on the concept of dynamic capabilities and how they can be applied to project-centered courses. This can be achieved through workshops, seminars, and training sessions.

Stage 2: Developing a Shared Vision

The second stage of the framework is developing a shared vision among course teams. A shared vision is essential for aligning the goals and aspirations of course teams and creating a sense of purpose. This stage involves developing a shared understanding of the role of project-centered courses in developing student innovation skills and a shared vision for how project-centered courses can achieve this goal. This can be achieved through collaborative visioning workshops that involve all stakeholders, including faculty, students, and industry partners.

Stage 3: Creating Organizational Structures and Processes

The third stage of the framework is creating organizational structures and processes that support the development of dynamic capabilities among course teams. Organizational structures and processes can include team composition, communication channels, decision-making processes, and resource allocation. This stage involves creating structures and processes that support the development of sensing, seizing, and transforming capabilities within course teams. This can be achieved through the development of flexible organizational structures that allow for rapid adaptation to changing environmental conditions, the creation of cross-functional communication channels that facilitate collaboration and knowledge-sharing, and the establishment of decision-making processes that encourage experimentation and risk-taking.

Stage 4: Fostering a Learning Culture

The fourth stage of the framework is fostering a learning culture among course teams. A learning culture is one that encourages continuous improvement and enhances the capabilities of individuals and teams. This stage involves creating a culture that supports experimentation and risk-taking, fosters creativity and innovation, and encourages learning and development. This can be achieved

through the development of learning communities where individuals can share ideas and knowledge, the provision of access to resources and mentorship, and the establishment of processes that facilitate continuous improvement.

4. Mechanisms for Student Innovation Development

The mechanisms for student innovation development within project-centered courses are closely linked to the four stages of the framework for enhancing the dynamic capabilities of course teams. The mechanism can be divided into four aspects, as shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Four mechanisms for student innovation development

Number	Content
Mechanism 1	providing access to resources and mentorship
Mechanism 2	encouraging experimentation and risk-taking
Mechanism 3	developing skills in design thinking and agility
Mechanism 4	fostering a mindset of continuous improvement

These four mechanisms are discussed in detail below.

Mechanism 1: Providing Access to Resources and Mentorship

Providing access to resources and mentorship is essential for fostering student innovation development within project-centered courses. This mechanism involves ensuring that students have access to the necessary resources, including funding, equipment, and technology, to develop their ideas. In addition, mentorship is essential for providing students with guidance and support throughout the innovation development process. This can be achieved through the establishment of partnerships with industry, government, and community organizations, as well as the provision of specialized training and mentorship programs.

Mechanism 2: Encouraging Experimentation and Risk-Taking

Encouraging experimentation and risk-taking is essential for fostering student innovation development within project-centered courses. This mechanism involves creating an environment that encourages students to experiment with new ideas and take risks. It also involves providing students with the freedom to fail and learn from their failures. This can be achieved through the establishment of safe spaces where students can experiment and take risks without fear of failure, as well as the development of a supportive culture that encourages risk-taking and experimentation.

Mechanism 3: Developing Skills in Design Thinking and Agility

Developing skills in design thinking and agility is essential for fostering student innovation development within project-centered courses. This mechanism involves developing students' abilities to think creatively and design solutions to complex problems. It also involves developing students' ability to adapt to changing environmental conditions and respond to new opportunities. This can be achieved through the inclusion of design thinking and agility training in project-centered courses, as well as the provision of mentorship and coaching in these areas.

Mechanism 4: Fostering a Mindset of Continuous Improvement

Fostering a mindset of continuous improvement is essential for fostering student innovation development within project-centered courses. This mechanism involves creating a culture of continuous improvement that encourages students to reflect on their experiences and learn from their mistakes. It also involves creating a culture that values feedback and encourages students to seek out feedback from peers, mentors, and experts. This can be achieved through the establishment of processes that facilitate feedback and reflection, as well as the provision of training and mentorship in these areas.

5. Conclusion

Project-centered courses have become an increasingly popular means of providing students with hands-on experiences in project management, collaboration, and innovation. However, to maximize the benefits of these courses for student innovation development, course teams need to possess dynamic capabilities that can continuously adapt to changing environmental conditions and create new opportunities for innovation. This paper proposed a framework to enhance the dynamic capabilities of project-centered course teams and discussed the mechanisms for student innovation development within project-centered courses. The framework consists of four stages: building awareness of dynamic capabilities, developing a shared vision, creating organizational structures and processes, and fostering a learning culture. The mechanisms for student innovation development include: providing access to resources and mentorship, encouraging experimentation and risk-taking, developing skills in design thinking and agility, and fostering a mindset of continuous improvement. By implementing this framework, course teams can enhance their dynamic capabilities and create a supportive environment that fosters student innovation development in project-centered courses.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by The 13th Five-Year Plan of Educational Science in Henan Province (No.2020YB0199), Classroom Teaching Mode Reform Project of Nanyang Normal University (No.2019-JXYJKT-22), Undergraduate First-class Course Teaching Quality Engineering Project of Nanyang Normal University (No.2019-YLKC-005), 2021 Key Project of Higher Education Teaching and Research of Nanyang Normal University (No.2021-GDJY-ZDXM-009), Teaching Reform Project of the Teaching Guidance Sub Committee for Water Supply and Drainage Science and Engineering in Higher Education Institutions of the Ministry of Education (GPSJZW2022-24), the New Engineering Research and Practice Project of the Ministry of Education (E-TMJZSLHY20202115) and Henan Province Undergraduate University "South to North Water Diversion Project+" Curriculum Ideological and Political Teaching Research Characteristic Demonstration Center Project (KCSZZX202216).

References

[1] Stolk J. D., Martello R. Can Disciplinary Integration Promote Students' Lifelong Learning Attitudes and Skills in Project-Based Engineering Courses?. International Journal of Engineering Education, 2015, 31(1):434-449.

[2] Ballesteros-Sanchez L., Ortiz-Marcos I., Rodriguez-Rivero R., Juan-Ruiz J. Project Management Training: An Integrative Approach for Strengthening the Soft Skills of Engineering Students. International Journal of Engineering Education, 2017, 33(6):1912-1926.

[3] Kolari S., Viskari E. L., Savander-Ranne C. Improving student learning in an environmental engineering program with a research study project. International Journal of Engineering Education, 2005, 21(4):702-711.

[4] Fernandes S., Flores M. A., Lima R. M. Students' views of assessment in project-led engineering education: findings from a case study in Portugal. Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 2012, 37(2):163-178.

[5] Borrego M., Karlin J., Mcnair L. D., Beddoes K. Team Effectiveness Theory from Industrial and Organizational Psychology Applied to Engineering Student Project Teams: A Research Review. Journal of Engineering Education, 2013, 102(4):472-512.

[6] Fan S. C., Yu K. C., Lou S. J. Why do students present different design objectives in engineering design projects?. International Journal of Technology and Design Education, 2018, 28(4):1039-1060.

[7] Foster D., Gilardi F., Martin P., Song W., Towey D., White A. Students as co-producers in a multidisciplinary software engineering project: addressing cultural distance and cross-cohort handover. Teachers and Teaching, 2018, 24(7):840-853.

[8]] Marques M., Ochoa S. F., Bastarrica M. C., Gutierrez F. J. Enhancing the Student Learning Experience in Software Engineering Project Courses. Ieee Transactions on Education, 2018, 61(1):63-73.

[9] Villanueva I., Jones S., Putney L., Campbell B. Puzzling the Pieces: Conceptual Blocks of Engineering Student Ideas in a Service Learning Project. International Journal of Engineering Education, 2018, 34(1):56-68.
[10] Smit R., Robin N., De Toffol C., Atanasova S. Industry-school projects as an aim to foster secondary school students' interest in technology and engineering careers. International Journal of Technology and Design Education, 2021, 31(1):61-79.
[11] Du X. Y., Lundberg A., Ayari M. A., Naji K. K., Hawari A. Examining engineering students' perceptions of learner agency enactment in problem- and project-based learning using Q methodology. Journal of Engineering Education, 2022, 111(1):111-136.
[12] Servant-Miklos V. F. C., Kolmos A. Student conceptions of problem and project based learning in engineering education: A phenomenographic investigation. Journal of Engineering Education, 2022, 111(4):792-812.
[13] Isaac S., Kotluk N., Tormey R. Educating Engineering Students to Address Bias and Discrimination Within Their Project Teams. Science and Engineering Ethics, 2023, 29(1).
[14] Alba-Flores R., Rios F. Incorporating Peer Review Techniques to Enhance Students' Communication Skills and Team Performance in Engineering Capstone Projects. International Journal of Engineering Education, 2019, 35(6):1969-1982.
[15] Appiah-Kubi P., Johnson M., Trappe E. Service learning in Engineering Technology: Do Students Have Preferences on Project Types?. Journal of Engineering Technology, 2019, 36(1):32-41.
[16] Zhang J. X., Xie H. Y., Li H. Improvement of students problem-solving skills through project execution planning in civil engineering and construction management education. Engineering Construction and Architectural Management, 2019, 26(7):1437-1454.
[17] Zhu J. B., Liu R. R., Liu Q. Q., Zheng T. Y., Zhang Z. N. Engineering Students' Epistemological Thinking in the Context of Project-Based Learning. Ieee Transactions on Education, 2019, 62(3):188-198.
[18] Benitz M. A., Yang L. L. Bridging Education and Engineering Students through a Wind Energy-Focused Community Engagement Project. Sustainability, 2021, 13(16).
[19] Coronado J. M., Moyano A., Romero V., Ruiz R., Rodriguez J. Student Long-Term Perception of Project-Based Learning in Civil Engineering Education: An 18-Year Ex-Post Assessment. Sustainability, 2021, 13(4).
[20] Du X. Y., Naji K. K. Civil Engineering Students' Collective Agency and Professional Identity in a Problem- and Project-Based Learning Environment: Case from Qatar. Journal of Civil Engineering Education, 2021, 147(4).
[21] Kiss A. A., Webb C. The Manchester perspective on using the Design Project to enhance the education of chemical engineering students. J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol., 2021, 96(6):1453-1464.
[22] Ngo T. T., Chase B. Students' attitude toward sustainability and humanitarian engineering education using project-based and international field learning pedagogies. International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education, 2021, 22(2):254-273.